TGD predicts a lot of new physics and it is quite possible that this new

physics becomes visible at LHC. Although the calculational formalism is

still lacking, p-adic length scale hypothesis allows to make precise quantitative predictions for particle masses by using simple scaling arguments.

The basic elements of quantum TGD responsible for new physics are following.

\begin{enumerate}

\item The new view about particles relies on their identification as partonic 2-surfaces (plus 4-D tangent space data to be

precise). This effective metric 2-dimensionality implies generalizaton of

the notion of Feynman diagram and holography in strong sense. One implication is the notion of field identity or field body making sense

also for elementary particles and the Lamb shift anomaly of muonic hydrogen

could be explained in terms of field bodies of quarks.

\item The topological explanation for family replication phenomenon implies genus generation correspondence and predicts in principle infinite

number of fermion families. One can however develop a rather general argument based on the notion of conformal symmetry known as hyper-ellipticity stating that only the genera g=0,1,2 are light. What

\blockquote{light} means is however an open question. If light means something below

 CP_2 mass there is no hope of observing new fermion families at LHC. If

it means weak mass scale situation changes.

For bosons the implications of family replication phenomenon can be understood from the fact that they can be regarded as pairs of fermion and

antifermion assignable to the opposite wormhole throats of wormhole throat.

This means that bosons formally belong to octet and singlet representations of dynamical SU(3) for which 3 fermion families define 3-D

representation. Singlet would correspond to ordinary gauge bosons. Also

interacting fermions suffer topological condensation and correspond to

wormhole contact. One can either assume that the resulting wormhole throat

has the topology of sphere or that the genus is same for both throats.

\item The view about space—time supersymmetry differs from the standard

view in many respects. First of all, the super symmetries are not associated with Majorana spinors. Super generators correspond to the

fermionic oscillator operators assignable to leptonic and quark-like induced spinors and there is in principle infinite number of them so that

formally one would have ${\c N}=\inf SUSY$. I have discussed the required modification of the formalism of SUSY theories and it turns out

that effectively one obtains just ${\c N}=1$ \$ SUSY required by experimental constraints. The reason is that the fermion states with higher

fermion number define only short range interactions analogous to van der

Waals forces. Right handed neutrino generates this super-symmetry broken

by the mixing of the M^4 chiralities implied by the mixing of M^4 and

\$CP_2\$ gamma matrices for induced gamma matrices. The simplest assumption

is that particles and their superpartners obey the same mass formula

that the p-adic length scale can be different for them.

\item The new view about particle massivation based on p-adic thermodynamics raises the question about the role of Higgs field.

vacuum expectation value (VEV) of Higgs is not feasible in TGD since \$CP_2\$ does not allow covariantly constant holomorphic vector fields.

The original too strong conclusion from this was that TGD does not allow

Higgs. Higgs VEV is not needed for the selection of preferred electromagnetic direction in electro—weak gauge algebra (unitary gauge)

since \$CP_2\$ geometry does that. p-Adic thermodynamics explains fermion

masses bout the masses of weak bosons cannot be understood on basis of

p-adic thermodynamics alone giving extremely small second order contribution only and failing to explain W/Z mass ratio. Weak boson mass

can be associated to the string tension of the strings connecting the

throats of two wormhole contacts associated with elementary particle (two of them are needed since the monopole magnetic flux must have closed field lines).

\item One of the basic distinctions between TGD and standard model is the new view about color.

\begin{enumerate}

\item The first implication is separate conservation of quark and lepton

quantum numbers implying the stability of proton against the decay via the

channels predicted by GUTs. This does not mean that proton would be absolutely stable. p-Adic and dark length scale hierarchies indeed predict

the existence of scale variants of quarks and leptons and proton could

decay to hadons of some zoomed up copy of hadrons physics. These decays

should be slow and presumably they would involve phase transition changing

the value of Planck constant characterizing proton. It might be that the

simultaneous increase of Planck constant for all quarks occurs with very

low rate.

\item Also color excitations of leptons and quarks are in principle possible. Detailed calculations would be required to see whether their mass

scale is given by \$CP_2\$ mass scale. The so called leptohadron physics

proposed to explain certain anomalies associated with both electron, muon,

and \$\tau\$ lepton could be understood in terms of color octet excitations of leptons.

\end{enumerate}

\item Fractal hierarchies of weak and hadronic physics labelled by p-adic

primes and by the levels of dark matter hierarchy are highly suggestive.

Ordinary hadron physics corresponds to $M_{107}=2^{107}-1$ One especially

interesting candidate would be scaled up hadronic physics which would

correspond to $M_{89}=2^{89}-1$ defining the p-adic prime of weak bosons.

The corresponding string tension is about 512 GeV and it might be possible

to see the first signatures of this physics at LHC. Nuclear string model

in turn predicts that nuclei correspond to nuclear strings of nucleons

connected by colored flux tubes having light quarks at their ends. The

interpretation might be in terms of M_{127} hadron physics. In biologically most interesting length scale range 10 nm-2.5 μ 0 there are

four Gaussian Mersennes and the conjecture is that these and other Gaussian

Mersennes are associated with zoomed up variants of hadron physics relevant

for living matter. Cosmic rays might also reveal copies of hadron physics

corresponding to \$M_{61}\$ and \$M_{31}\$

The well-definedness of em charge for the modes of induced spinor fields

localizes them at 2-D surfaces with vanishing W fields and also Z^0 field

above weak scale. This allows to avoid undesirable parity breaking effects.

It is quite possible that this localization

is consistent with K\"ahler-Dirac equation only in the Minkowskian regions

where the effective metric defined by K\"ahler-Dirac gamma matrices can be

effectively 2-dimensional and parallel to string world sheet.

\item Weak form of electric magnetic duality implies that the fermions and

antifermions associated with both leptons and bosons are K\"ahler magnetic

monopoles accompanied by monopoles of opposite magnetic charge and with

opposite weak isospin. For quarks K\"ahler magnetic charge need not cancel

and cancellation might occur only in hadronic length scale. The magnetic

flux tubes behave like string like objects and if the string tension is

determined by weak length scale, these string aspects should become visible

at LHC. If the string tension is 512 GeV the situation becomes less promising. \end{enumerate}

In this chapter some aspects of the predicted new physics and possible

indications for it are discussed. The evolution of the TGD based

view about possible existing Higgs like particle and about space—time SUSY are discussed in separate chapters.