Negentropy Maximization Principle and Second Law

January 19, 2021

M. Pitkänen,

January 19, 2021 Email: matpitka6@gmail.com. http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/. Recent postal address: Rinnekatu 2-4 A 8, 03620, Karkkila, Finland.

Contents

1	Intr	oducti	ion	2
2	Hov	How Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) relates to Second Law		
	2.1	Genera	al observations about second law	3
	2.2	The ne	ew physics elements involved with NMP	4
	2.3 Detailed formulation of NMP		ed formulation of NMP	4
		2.3.1	NMP implies increase of ordinary entanglement entropy	6
		2.3.2	Could NMP allow the failure of second law in some situations?	6
		2.3.3	Comparison with the proposal of Jeremy England	6
		2.3.4	Cognitive fixed point instead of thermal equilibrium?	7

Abstract

Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) as the variational principle of consciousness replaces the second law and implies it for ordinary matter. State function reduction (SFR) means a reduction of the entanglement for a pair $S_a - S_b$ of sub-system S_a and its complement S_b in S. Measurement cascade proceeding from long to short scales decomposes at each step a system to a pair of unentangled subsystems is in question. NMP as a variational principle of consciousness states that negentropy gain in these reductions is maximized and selects the pair $S_a - S_b$ at given step.

In adelic physics the negentropy is sum $N = -S_1 - S_2$ of real and various p-adic negentropies but p-adic negentropy can be positive so that for non-trivial extensions of rationals one can have N > 0. This kind of entanglement is stable against NMP so that the process stops. One can assign positively colored emotions to this kind of entanglement and it distinguishes between living and inanimate matter and also between dark and ordinary matter.

An attractive idea is that NMP forces the system to perform "big" state function reduction (BSFR) when system approaches cognitive fixed point. This would mean death of the conscious entity and its re-incarnation with an opposite arrow of time.

1 Introduction

TGD inspired theory of consciousness and quantum biology relies on zero energy ontology (ZEO) based view about quantum measurement theory. Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) canb be said to define the variational principle of consciousness.

1. Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) as the variational principle of consciousness replaces the second law and implies it for ordinary matter. State function reduction (SFR) means a reduction of the entanglement for a pair $S_a - S_b$ of sub-system S_a and S_b its complement in S. Measurement cascade proceeding from long to short scales decomposes at each step a system to a pair of unentangled subsystems is in question. NMP as a variational principle of consciousness states that negentropy gain in these reductions is maximized and selects the pair $S_a - S_b$ at given step.

In adelic physics [L2, L3] the entropy $N = -S_1 - S_2$ of real and various p-adic negentropies but p-adic negentropy can be positive so that for non-trivial extensions of rationals one can have N > 0. This kind of entanglement is stable against NMP so that the process stops. One can assign positively colored emotions to this kind of entanglement and it distinguishes between living and inanimate matter and also between dark and ordinary matter.

2. TGD inspired theory of consciousness is basically an extension of quantum measurement theory allowing to get rid of the basic paradox of quantum measurement theory. There are two kinds of state function reductions (SFRs) "big" SFR and "small" SFR (briefly BSFR and SSFR) [L5].

SSFRs are counterparts of "weak" measurements which are much like classical measurements and do not involve any dramatic changes. The sequence of SSFRs gives rise to a conscious entity -self - as a sequence of moments of consciousness. Subjective time as a sequence of SSFRs correlates with the geometric time. BSFRs are counterparts of ordinary quantum measurements and have a dramatic effect: in a very general sense one can say that self dies and reincarnates with an opposite arrow of geometric time.

3. There is a hierarchy of magnetic bodies carrying dark matter as phases of ordinary matter with effective value $h_{eff} = nh_0$ of Planck constant. n corresponds to the dimension of an extension of rationals. The extensions define evolutionary hierarchies with increasing complexity. n serves as a measure of algebraic complexity and as a universal IQ, and also characterizes the scale of quantum coherence. For instance, genes are characterized by the value of h_{eff} associated with their MB.

Since MBs have higher universal IQ than ordinary biomatter, they control the biochemistry. In particular, they would control DNA and DNAs MB would actually realize genetic codons in terms of dark proton triplets. Also dark photon triplets would provide this kind of realization crucial for control of and communication with ordinary biomatter. 4. ZEO implies a theory of self-organization [L4] and of self-organized quantum criticality (SOQC) relying on time reversal [L10]. The dissipation of a system looks like in reversed time direction extraction of energy from the environment. Also SOQC becomes possible since criticality, since a state, which is a repeller, becomes an attractor in reversed time direction. The system seems to tend to criticality for an observer with an opposite arrow of time.

2 How Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) relates to Second Law

Negentropy Maximization Principle [K1] defines the variational principle of consciousness. Mathematically NMP is analogous to the second law in that it is not deterministic like the variational principles of classical physics. For a given entangled system NMP allows state function reduction (SFR) for that sub-system-complement pair for which the negentropy gain is maximal. The state function reduction can occur to any eigenstate of the density matrix of the selected subsystem in accordance with standard quantum measurement theory.

This would lead to a product of pure states and the negative entanglement negentropy of the initial state would become vanishing in the final state so that negentropy would increase. The inclusion of p-adic contribution to negentropy identifiable in terms of cognitive information assignable to entanglement changes the situation and the entanglement can be stable against NMP and state function reduction cascade stops to entangled state representing cognitive fixed point. Since negentropy gain is not anymore popssible in SSFRs, death is bound to take place.

2.1 General observations about second law

First some general observations about second law.

- 1. Second law is an empirical fact. Second law forces the increase of entropy in statistical sense. Thermo-dynamical equilibrium is the most probable equilibrium. Second law in the standard form assumes a fixed arrow of time. Zero energy ontologuy (ZEO) forces to give up this assumption and allow both arrows of time.
- 2. Quantum physics is certainly behind second law. If you have an entangled system state, SFRs occur for subsystems with reduction probabilities determined by its entanglement with the environment. This eventually leads to a loss of entanglement and quantum coherence and one must apply statistical using density matrix for individual sub-system and eventually justifies thermo-dynamical description. It is important to notice that in SFR the entanglement entropy of an individual system is reduced in SFR but that in the case of ensemble of identical systems this generates entanglement entropy identical to the entanglement entropy of single particle giving thermo-dynamical entropy as a special case.

One can consider two interpretations: a) the generation of entanglement generates single particle entropy although actually the entropy of the entire system does not increase in unitary evolution or b) the transformation of this entropy to ensemble entropy corresponds to second law. Option b) looks more realistic.

This is however only a description for what happens. One can ask what is behind second law. Is there some deeper principle as one might suspect because quantum measurement is so poorly defined theory. For instance, von Neumann proposed that only humans cause SFRs. It is often assumed that decoherence occurs without making any proposal how this happens. What is known and well-tested is that reduction probabilities for a measurement reducing the entanglement are coded by the measured density matrix, and one can say that the system goes to an eigenstate of the density matrix as the entanglement is reduced. For an ensemble of identical particles this process transforms entanglement entropy to ensemble entropy with the same value.

Negentropy Maximization Principle (NMP) is the TGD based proposal for the variational principle behind SFRs.

2.2 The new physics elements involved with NMP

NMP involves several new physics elements.

- 1. What is new is the hierarchy of systems having the hierarchy of space-time sheets as a geometric correlate. At the level of consciousness theory it would have self hierarchy as a correlate. Quantum measurements are assumed to correspond to SFR cascades proceeding from higher to lower levels of the hierarchy.
- 2. ZEO brings in the notions of "small" SFR (SSFR) as counterpart of "weak" measurement and "big" SFR (BSFR) as counterpart of ordinary quantum measurement [L5] and forces giving up the assumption about a fixed arrow of time. This modifies standard thermodynamics and leads to a new view about self-organization self-organized quantum criticality [L4, L10].
- 3. In the standard physics framework there is no definition of negentropy as a measure of conscious information. Negentropy can be only defined as the negative of ordinary entropy and is therefore non-positive. The best that one could have would be vanishing negentropy. This failure is understandable since standard physics does not even try to describe cognition. One manner to solve the problem is to claim that only entropy gradients, whose sign can be also negative matter and thus consider only information flows. In TGD different view is adopted.
- 4. To bring in conscious information one must introduce cognition. In the TGD framework it is assumed to be described by adelic physics [L2, L3]. This brings in p-adic space-time surfaces as correlates of cognition. Real space-time surfaces are replaced with their adelic counterparts forming a kind of Cartesian product of real and various p-adic space-time surfaces obeying the same algebraic equations.

By $M^8 - H$ duality [L6, L7] one can regard space-time surfaces as surfaces in M^8 or in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$. M^8 is a subspace of the space of complexified octonions O_c and space-time surface is determined as a 4-D "root" of a real polynomial algebraically continued to an octonionic polynomial. If the coefficients of the polynomial are rational numbers, the polynomial makes sense for both real and p-adic number fields implying number theoretical universality. The dynamical principle is simple: the normal space of the space-time surface is associative/quaternionic.

 $M^8 - H$ -duality maps these 4-surfaces to 4-surfaces in H. In both cases one has minimal surfaces. Also the notion of cognitive representation emerges and is essential for the number theoretical universality. It is also crucial for the construction of the scattering amplitudes [L9, L6, L7].

2.3 Detailed formulation of NMP

Consider now the formulation of NMP [K1] in this conceptual framework.

1. In adelic physics cognition is described in terms of p-adic degrees of freedom. Entropy is a sum of two terms: $S = S_1 + S_2$.

 S_1 is the ordinary entropy describing the amount of ignorance of the observer about the state of either entangled system - say Schrödinger cat and the bottle of poison.

 S_2 , as the p-adic variant of entropy (also real valued) assignable to cognitive information has an analogous formula and similar defining properties but can be *negative*(!) and is interpreted as a measure for the information carried by entanglement.

The possibility of having negative sign is basically due to the fact that the logarithms $log(p_k)$ of probabilities p_k in the Shannon formula $S = -\sum_k p_k log(p_k)$ for entropy are replaced by the logarithms of their p-adic norms $|p_k|_p$ given by p^{-n} for $p_k = p^n(a_0 + a_1p + ...)$ (note that the exponent changes sign!): $log(p_k) \rightarrow log(|p_k|_p)$. Entropy remains additive since the p-adic norm of product is product of p-adic norms.

A more general formula for the real Shannon entropy S_1 is as $S_1 = -Tr(\rho log(\rho))$ (ρ is the density matrix). Even in the case that the matrix elements of ρ are in the extension of rationals used, this formula need not generalize for S_2 since also $log(\rho)$ should have this property. The manner to avoid the problem is to diagonalize ρ . This is possible if the eigenvalues of ρ - having an interpretation as entanglement probabilities p_k (equivalently reduction probabilities) - belong to the extension of rationals considered.

At the fundamental level this extension is defined by the space-time surface determined by a polynomial with rational coefficients $(M^8 - H \text{ duality } [L6, L7])$: the roots of the polynomial determine the extension and space-time surface (number theoretic holography). If the entanglement probabilities are not in the extension, one might argue that the entanglement is stable - note however that NMP alone could make it stable.

Quantum coherence involves stable entanglement carrying cognitive information measured by S_2 . The destruction of coherence if allow by NMP destroys information defined as the sum $N = -S = -S_1 - S_2$. In absence of cognition one would have $N = -S_1$ and NMP would transform to second law.

- 2. The cascade of "small" state function reductions (SSFRs) eventually leads to a state in which the remaining entanglement is stable. There is no subsystem-complement pair for which SSFR could take place in such a manner that negentropy $N = -S = -S_1 S_2$ would increase. The resulting states are analogous to bound states.
- 3. Remarkably, in its adelic formulation NMP states that the total entropy, which tends to be negative for extensions of rationals, gets smaller and negative: information is generated! The pessimistic second law transforms to an optimistic NMP! The gloomy character of second law would be due to the neglect of cognition from physics.

Cognitive entropy gets more and more negative but real entropy which is closely related to it but tending to have a smaller magnitude than p-adic entropy for extensions of rationals also increases [K1] [L5]. Hence their sum tends to increase with the dimension $n = h_{eff}/h_0$ of the extension.

What makes entanglement stable against SSFR? One can consider two mechanisms.

- 1. Adelic physics allows negentropic entanglement, which tends to be stable against SSFRs since it can only become even more negentropic.
- 2. One can also consider another stabilization mechanism. The rule would state that if the density matrix of the subsystem-complement pair does not allow eigenvalues in the extension of rationals considered, the reduction is not possible. For a stable entanglement density matrix would not allow eigenvalues in the extension of rationals considered. One can of course criticize this rule as somewhat *ad hoc* and the first option might be enough. One can also ask whether this mechanism is equivalent with the first mechanism.
- 3. What could be the interpretation of the negentropic entanglement? I have assigned positive emotions like love to this entanglement, also experience of understanding, etc...

One can raise an objection against entanglement coefficients in an extension of rationals, call it E.

- 1. Entanglement coefficients would be in E characterizing the polynomial determining spacetime surface in M^8 and by $M^8 - H$ -duality this would be the case also in $H = M^4 \times CP_2$. The problem is that this does not seem to allow smooth time evolution of entanglement coefficients.
- 2. At the level of M^8 the construction of scattering amplitudes relies on discretization cognitive representation [L6, L7]. M^8 is analogous to momentum space and dynamics is purely algebraic at the level of M^8 . The algebraic view about solutions of Dirac equation is analogous to momentum space view about ordinary Dirac equation: the spinor mode is localized to a point of momentum space. Many-fermion state corresponds naturally to a cognitive representation. This allows getting rid of the problems since no such evolutions exist at M^8 level.

3. What about the situation at the level of $H = M^4 \times CP_2$? Should one only assume that entanglement coefficients for many-fermion state are transformable to a number theoretic entanglement by a unitary matrix leaving the eigenvalues of the density matrix representing entanglement invariant? Since the induced spinors in H satisfy partial differential equations, this seems to be a reasonable option.

Algebraic entanglement coefficients are needed only at the level of the scattering amplitudes. $M^8 - H$ duality allows to map cognitive representation in M^8 to H. This also applies to entanglement coefficients so that they would be in an extension of rationals at the points of cognitive representation containing point-like fermions at both sides.

2.3.1 NMP implies increase of ordinary entanglement entropy

NMP implies increase of the ordinary entanglement entropy. The hasty conclusion would be that this implies also increase of thermal entropy and thus second law. Here one must be however cautious.

1. Second law as an increase of ordinary entropy would still hold true but the increase of cognitive information would be larger than the increase of the real entropy for non-trivial extensions of rationals (this is always the case).

The asymptotic states with maximum negentropy and with stable entanglement would have maximal real and minimal p-adic entanglement entropy and their sum would be negative - and N = -S would therefore serve as a measure for the amount of conscious information.

2. One might argue that intelligent systems tend to pollute their environment: they are entanglement entropy generators and by witnessing what has been happening to our environment, it would be easy to agree.

One must be however extremely cautious with formulas. The stability of negentropic entanglement means that the real entanglement entropy cannot transform to ensemble entropy and cannot therefore actualize! Is this what distinguishes loving attention as something unique and positive: the entanglement is stable and cannot transform to ordinary entropy?

2.3.2 Could NMP allow the failure of second law in some situations?

The dream about eternal youth seems to be in conflict with the second law. For physicist second law is usually the absolute authority. Working with the details of NMP however force to challenge this view.

A generalization of second law taking into account time reversals is required in ZEO and already this implies apparent breakings of second law. Furthermore, NMP implies second law as the increase of entanglement entropy. NMP does not allow SFRs transforming negentropic entanglement entropy to thermodynamic ensemble entropy unless the SFRs occurs at higher level of hierachy so that the local reduction of negentropy is compensated by its increase in a longer scale. The implications of this fact remain to be understood.

Could NMP break the second law? Can this be consistent with empirical facts? Could the breaking of second law occur at the level of dark matter only? Second law would apply only to the entropy transformable to thermal entropy. The sum $N = -S_1 - S_2$ is what matters: for a trivial extension one has N = 0 so that this transformation is possible. N = 0 can be however true also for non-trivial extensions. Could the total entanglement negentropy assignable to the ordinary matter satisfy N = 0 and be therefore transformable to thermal entropy whereas "dark" entanglement negentropy satisfying N > 0 would not allow this. Could one identify dark/living matter as negentropic matter and ordinary/inanimate matter as non-negentropic thermalizable matter? Note that also the phases with $h_{eff}/h_0 = n$ could in principle have N = 0. The stability of dark entanglement could directly relate to the failure to observe dark matter.

2.3.3 Comparison with the proposal of Jeremy England

Jeremy England [I1] has noticed that living systems increase entropy and has proposed it as a basic principle of biology. England's proposal is discussed from TGD point of view in [L1]. I did

not however realize in this article the fact, that negentropic entanglement entropy need not allow a transformation to thermal entropy.

One can represent several objections against England's idea.

- 1. Second law cannot force or even allow the generation of life. Second law relates to the occurrence of SFRs but we do not have a real theory of quantum measurement.
- 2. Second law assumes preferred arrow of time and there is a lot of support for its violation in living matter as realized first by Fantappie [J1]: in particular, self-organization processes could involve dissipation with reversed arrow of time.
- 3. To understand life one must take it seriously. Living system is somehow different from inanimate matter. The emergence of life means the generation of conscious information but in the framework of standard physics there is no definition of conscious information.

These objections raise several questions. Why the emergence of life would be accompanied by a generation of entropy? What could serve as a measure for conscious information? How to describe cognition? To these questions adelic physics provides a possible answer. If entropy that England talks about is identified as the entropy produced in SFRs of systems having N = 0, TGD view is consistent with the proposal of England.

2.3.4 Cognitive fixed point instead of thermal equilibrium?

The analogy with the second law strongly suggests that the system approaches a cognitive fixed point (negentropy maximum) during the sequence of SSFRs followed by the analog of unitary time evolution. SSFRs cannot generate negentropy anymore. Since the system does not learn anymore, BSFR is bound to occur. A possible number theoretic formulation for the fixed point could be following.

- 1. The time evolution following SSFR generates entanglement. This entanglement is maximally reduced in measurements of observables, which correspond to operators, whose action does not affect the states at the passive boundary.
- 2. Cognitive measurements define an important class of such measurements [L8]. The cognitive quantum states correspond to wave functions in the Galois group G of the extension that is elements of the group algebra F(G) of G. G can be decomposed to a product $G = \prod G_i$ of subgroups defined by the hierarchy of normal subgroups of G defined by the representation of the extension as an extension of an extension of ... of rationals.

Elements of F(G) decompose to superpositions of products of functions in G_i and the factors are entangled. Note that the order of G_i matters and is induced by the inclusion hierarchy for the extensions considered: the largest extension is at the top of the hierarchy. One has "ordered" entanglement. This is analogous to the directedness of attention which is difficult to understand in the standard physics framework.

Eastern philosophies speak also of states of consciousness in which there is no distinction between observer and observed and not division. Could this kind of attention involve negentropic entanglement between systems, which correspond to the same extension of rationals so that the. attention cannot be directed? Or could it correspond to negentropic cognitive entanglement allowing cognitive SSFRs?

The first cognitive measurement leads to a product decomposition in $F(G/(G_2...G_n)) \times F(G_2...G_n)$ if the entanglement coefficients between $G_1 = G/(G_2...G_n)$ and $F(G_2...G_n)$ are in the extension of rationals considered. Same can happen at the next step and leads to a similar decomposition of $F(G_2,...G_n)$. The maximal cognitive measurement cascade leads to a product of wave functions in $F(G_i)$ but it can happen that there is no measurement cascade at all.

3. Suppose that the time evolution following SSFR for individual mutually unentangled subsystems is in good approximation unitary (their interactions with other such subsystems can be neglected) so that they do not entangle, the density matrix of an individual system suffers a unitary automorphism so that entanglement entropies remain unaffected and the negentropy gain vanishes. One could speak of "asymptotic freedom" as a condition for the cognitive fixed point.

The cognitive fixed point would define the "silent wisdom" of the re-incarnate having the formerly active boundary of CD as a passive boundary of CD. What would be learned during life would help during the next life cycle.

REFERENCES

Biology

[I1] England J Perunov N, Marsland R. Statistical Physics of Adaptation, 2014. Available at: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.1875v1.pdf.

Neuroscience and Consciousness

[J1] Fantappie L. Teoria Unitaria del Mondo Fisico e Biologico. Di Renzo Editore, Roma, 1942.

Books related to TGD

[K1] Pitkänen M. Negentropy Maximization Principle. In TGD Inspired Theory of Consciousness. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/nmpc.pdf, 2006.

Articles about TGD

- [L1] Pitkänen M. Jeremy England's vision about life and evolution: comparison with TGD approach. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/englandtgd.pdf., 2015.
- [L2] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_ html/articles/adelephysics.pdf., 2017.
- [L3] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. In Trends and Mathematical Methods in Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences, pages 241-319. Springer.Available at: https://link. springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-55612-3_11, 2017.
- [L4] Pitkänen M. Quantum self-organization by h_{eff} changing phase transitions. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/heffselforg.pdf., 2019.
- [L5] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: http: //tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf., 2019.
- [L6] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of $M^8 H$ duality hypothesis: part I. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8H1.pdf., 2020.
- [L7] Pitkänen M. A critical re-examination of $M^8 H$ duality hypothesis: part II. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M82.pdf., 2020.
- [L8] Pitkänen M. The dynamics of SSFRs as quantum measurement cascades in the group algebra of Galois group. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/SSFRGalois. pdf., 2020.

- [L9] Pitkänen M. Zero energy ontology, hierarchy of Planck constants, and Kähler metric replacing unitary S-matrix: three pillars of new quantum theory (short version). Available at: http: //tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/kahlersm.pdf., 2020.
- [L10] Pitkänen M and Rastmanesh R. Homeostasis as self-organized quantum criticality. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/SP.pdf., 2020.