ZEO, Adelic Physics, and Genes

M. Pitkänen,

November 11, 2020
Email: matpitka6@gmail.com.
http://tgdtheory.com/public_html/.
Recent postal address: Rinnekatu 2-4 A 8, 03620, Karkkila, Finland.

Contents

Contents

Abstract

Zero energy ontology (ZEO) solving the basic problem of quantum measurement theory has become a cornerstone of quantum TGD, and together with the vision about physics as infinite-D geometry of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW) and number theoretical vision about physics as adelic physics fusing the real number based physics of sensory experience and the p-adics physics of cognition and intentionality dictates to high degree the key structures of TGD.

The basic prediction of ZEO is that "big" (ordinary) state function reduction (BSFR) changes the arrow of time meaning "death" and "reincarnation" with opposite arrow of time. In "small" state function identifiable as TGD counterparts of "weak" measurements reduction this does not occur. This leads to a new view about self-organization in which time reversal making possible dissipation with non-standard arrow of time makes possible for a system to extract (for instance thermal) energy from the environment: this allows to circumvent the heat death predicted by standard thermodynamics.

In this chapter the implications of the ZEO for the understanding of genetic code and DNA are considered.

1. The relation between zero energy ontology (ZEO) based quantum measurement theory and adelic vision is clarified. One can generalize classical cognitive representations as number theoretical discretizations of space-time surfaces in the extension of rationals considered to their quantum counterparts as wave functions in the Galois group of the extension and introduce also fermions as spinors in the group algebra of Galois group. The strongest option is purely number theoretical representations of fermionic Fock spaces in terms of spinors in this group algebra. Presumably however M^8 spinors are required as a building brick and have interpretation in terms of octonion structure.
2. Adelic physics, $M^8 - H$ duality, and zero energy ontology lead (ZEO) to a proposal that the dynamics involved with "small" state function reductions (SSFRs) as counterparts of weak measurements could be basically number theoretical dynamics with SSFRs identified as reduction cascades leading to completely un-entangled state in the space of wave functions in Galois group of extension of rationals identifiable as wave functions in the space of cognitive representations. As a side product a prime factorization of the order of Galois group is obtained.
3. The question what basic processes of biology could have time time reversals is discussed. Here the basic restriction comes from CPT theorem and chiral selection in living matter and it turns out that very restricted set of basic bio-processes can have time reversal catalyzed by enzymes.

   The time reversals of the basic processes like transcription and replication turn out to be possible only for the conjugate (passive) strand - this is basically due to the CPT theorem and chiral selection: enzymes can catalyze processes but not their time reversals. The picture involving time reversal is applied to understand recombination which is a poorly understood step of meiosis.

   TGD predicts that consciousness is possible even at the level of DNA. Could also DNA have a longitudinal electric field with direction correlating with the arrow of time of DNA at the (magnetic body) MB of DNA. Could there be a switch changing the direction of this electric field? This inspires a model for the DNA as ferro-electret based on the properties of the negatively charged sticky ends of chromosome and dark DNA codons as proton triplets along a magnetic flux tube parallel to DNA strand.

1 Introduction

Zero energy ontology (ZEO) solving the basic problem of quantum measurement theory has become a cornerstone of quantum TGD, and together with the vision about physics as infinite-D geometry of the "world of classical worlds" (WCW) [K4] and number theoretical vision about physics as adelic physics [L15, L16] fusing the real number based physics of sensory experience and the p-adics physics of cognition and intentionality dictates to high degree the key structures of TGD.In this chapter the implications of the ZEO for the understanding of genetic code are considered.

1.1 Summary of Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO)

Zero energy ontology (ZEO) [L35] lies behind TGD based quantum measurement theory in turn giving rise to a theory of consciousness by making observed part of system as a conscious entity - self. ZEO solves the basic paradox of quantum measurement theory forcing to give up ontology altogether in the Copenhagen interpretation. ZEO has become a key aspect of the entire TGD based physics.

In this section I will consider more precise mathematical formulation and physical interpretation of ZEO. ZEO forms also the cornerstone of TGD inspired theory of consciousness and quantum biology. I will consider also some related aspects of ZEO such as the notions of free will and intentionality, the notions of memory and precognition as its time reversal, intuitive in contrast to formal reasoning, and remote metabolism as a universal thermodynamical mechanism of metabolism in ZEO based thermodynamics.

1.2 About quantum measurement theory in ZEO

The relation between zero energy ontology (ZEO) based quantum measurement theory and adelic vision is clarified. The considerations suggest a more precise picture about cognitive representations and formulation of quantum measurement theory for them. One can generalize classical cognitive representations as number theoretical discretizations of space-time surfaces in the extension of rationals considered to their quantum counterparts as wave functions in the Galois group of the extension and introduce also fermions as spinors in the group algebra of Galois group. The strongest option is purely number theoretical representations of fermionic Fock spaces in terms of spinors in this group algebra. Presumably however M^8 spinors are required as basic building bricks and have interpretation in terms of octonion structure.

An attractive vision is that number theoretical quantum measurements reduce to measurement cascades involving a sequence of state function reductions reducing the entanglement between wave functions in sub-Galois group H and group G/H and ends up to a prime Galois group for group algebra has prime dimension and represents Hilbert space prime not decomposable to tensor product.

Also time measurement is considered from the number theoretic perspective assuming $M^8 - H$ duality. Clock readings are realized as roots of the rational polynomial determining the space-time surface. Time measurement would involve a localization to a definite extension of rationals, whose dimension n must be proportional to the temporal distance T between the tips of causal diamond (CD) to guarantee fixed time and energy resolution.

1.3 The dynamics of SSFRs as quantum measurement cascades in the group algebra of Galois group

Adelic physics, M^8-H duality, and zero energy ontology lead (ZEO) to a proposal that the dynamics involved with "small" state function reductions (SSFRs) as counterparts of weak measurements could be basically number theoretical dynamics with SSFRs identified as reduction cascades leading to completely un-entangled state in the space of wave functions in Galois group of extension of rationals identifiable as wave functions in the space of cognitive representations. As a side product a prime factorization of the order of Galois group is obtained.

The result looks even more fascinating if the cognitive dynamics is a representation for the dynamics in real degrees of freedom in finite resolution characterized by the extension of rationals. If cognitive representations represent reality approximately, this indeed looks very natural and would provide an analog for adele formula expressing the norm of a rational as the inverse of the product of is p-adic norms. The results can be applied to the TGD inspired model of genetic code.

1.4 DNA and time reversal

The recently (towards end of year 2020) added section about DNA time reversal is written together with Reza Rastmanesh and devoted to the view about DNA inspired by zero energy ontology (ZEO) forming the basis of the quantum measurement theory of Topological Geometrodynamics (TGD) and by the notion of dark DNA inspired by the TGD view about dark matter as phases of the

ordinary matter with effective Planck constant $h_{eff} = nh_0 > h$ at magnetic body (MB) - the third key notion distinguishing TGD from standard model. The basic prediction of ZEO is that "big" (ordinary) state function reduction (BSFR) changes the arrow of time meaning "death" and "reincarnation" with opposite arrow of time. This leads to a new view about self-organization.

The time reversals of the basic processes like transcription and replication turn out to be possible only for the conjugate (passive) strand - this is basically due to th CPT theorem in TGD context and chiral selection. By chiral selection enzymes can catalyze processes but not their time reversals. For instance, conjugate strand polymerizes in reverse time direction - this looks like depolymerization in standard time direction. Polymerization of the conjugate strand however occurs in standard time direction but in reverse direction along strand.

The recombination of DNA strands during meiosis is poorly understood. This could correspond to reconnections for the flux tubes associated with the active DNA strands. Time reversal would occur in BSFR and formerly passive conjugate DNA strands would depolymerize to "loose" codons (not independent letters) by the time reversed polymerization, the flux tubes associated with the formerly active strands would suffer reconnections inducing recombination without assistance of enzymes, second BSFR would occur, and be followed by the replication of recombined active strands.

According to the findings of Becker, the direction of the electric field along the body axis determines whether the system is awake or sleeps. By the properties of electric field under time reflection, the arrow of time correlates also with the direction of the electric field. TGD predicts that consciousness is possible even at the level of DNA. Could also DNA have a longitudinal electric field with direction correlating with the arrow of time of DNA at the (magnetic body) MB of DNA. Could there be a switch changing the direction of this electric field?

This inspires a model for the DNA as ferro-electret based on the properties of the negatively charged sticky ends of chromosome and dark DNA codons as proton triplets along a magnetic flux tube parallel to DNA strand. A simple proposal for the time switch based on the analog of Becker's DC currents emerges: proton flow of the dark protons of sticky end to the opposite sticky end would change the arrow of time. The model could generalize also to proteins known to be ferro-electrets and could be accompanied also by their dark analogs.

2 Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO)

Zero energy ontology (ZEO) lies behind TGD based quantum measurement theory in turn giving rise to a theory of consciousness by making observed part of system as a conscious entity - self [L17]. ZEO solves the basic paradox of quantum measurement theory forcing to give up ontology altogether in the Copenhagen interpretation. ZEO has become a key aspect of the entire TGD based physics.

The basic prediction of ZEO is that ordinary ("big") state function reductions (BSFRs) involve change of the arrow of time. There is a lot of support for this prediction. The recent highly counterintuitive findings of Minev et all provided support for the time reversal in atomic systems [L26] [L26]. Fantappie [J3] proposed decades ago time reversal in living systems and introduced syntropy as time reversed entropy. In living matter the generation of more complex molecules from their building bricks can be seen as decay in time reversed direction. Phase conjugate laser beams are known to obey time reversed second law.

Also Libet's findings [J1] related to the active aspects of conscious experience find a nice explanation in terms of the time reversal. The latest application is to the understanding of the mysterious looking findings about earthquakes and volcanic eruptions suggesting that macroscopic quantum jumps involving time reversal are in question [L28]. This suggest that experimental verification of the time reversal and occurrence of macroscopic quantum jumps is possible by studying causal anomalies. For these reasons is important to try to develop the details of the view about ZEO as precise as possible.

In the sequel I will consider more precise mathematical formulation and physical interpretation of ZEO. ZEO forms also the cornerstone of TGD inspired theory of consciousness and quantum biology and I will consider also some related aspects of ZEO such as the notions of free will and intentionality, the notions of memory and precognition as its time reversal, intuitive in contrast to formal reasoning, and remote metabolism as a universal thermodynamical mechanism of

metabolism in ZEO based thermodynamics.

2.1 General view about ZEO

The details of ZEO - in particular the technical details related to the conservation laws BSFR and SSFR - are from well-understood and the following is an attempt to fix these details by using analogy with cosmology.

2.1.1 Rough view about ZEO

Consider first what ZEO roughly means.

1. The realization of ZEO [L37, L17, L20, L34] involves besides the notions of "small" (SSFR) and "big" state function reduction (BSFR) also the notion of causal diamond (CD). CD defines perceptive field of conscious entity as a 8-D region $cd \times CP_2$, where cd is the 4-D causal diamond of M^4 defined as the intersection of future and past directed light-cones.

2. At the classical level the basic entity is space-time surface connecting 3-surfaces at the opposite boundaries of CD. The space-time surfaces inside sub-CD continue outside and there is a hierarchy of CDs with largest CD beyond which space-time surfaces do not continue. This defines a space-time correlate for the hierarchy of selves.

   Space-time surfaces are preferred extremals of the basic action principle defined by the twistor lift of TGD [L22]. Minimal surfaces with 2-D string world sheets as singularities would be in question. They connect 3-surfaces at the boundaries of CD and are analogous to Bohr orbits so that not any pair is possible and the conditions characterizing preferred extremal property might even imply 1-1 correspondence between these 3-surfaces.

3. Zero energy states are superpositions of preferred extremals. One can also understand zero energy states as superpositions of deterministic programs - quantum programs, functions in the sense of quantum biology, or quantum behaviors. ZEO allows to solve the basic paradox of quantum measurement theory since the non-determinism of quantum jump between zero energy states corresponds to the causality of free will and is not in conflict with the classical determinism realizing the causality of field equations. Experienced time and geometric time are not same but there is a strong correlation between them.

4. In SSFRs the active boundary of CD shifts to future - at least in statistical sense. This is preceded by a unitary time evolution generating superposition of CDs with different sizes but having fixed passive boundary and same superposition of 3-surfaces at it. SSFR involves time-localization to single CD with fixed temporal distance between its tips. Essentially time measurement is in question.

5. In BSFR the arrow of time changes and one can say that state function reduction measuring set of observables takes place at the active boundary of CD, which becomes a passive boundary at which state does not change during subsequent SSFRs in which CD increases in opposite direction with the former passive boundary becoming an active boundary. The change of the arrow of time in BSFR creates the illusion that instantaneous quantum jump corresponds to a smooth and deterministic time evolution leading to the final state [L26] [L26].

The mathematical and physical details of the picture are not completely nailed down, and the best manner to proceed is to return to basic questions again and again and to challenge the details of the existing picture. In the following I will do my best to invent nasty arguments against ZEO.

2.1.2 ZEO and conservation laws

The geometry of CD breaks Poincare invariance. Lorentz invariance with respect to the either tip of CD is exact symmetry and is extremely attractive in the construction of members of state pairs in ZEO. Classically Poincare invariance is exact and one can deduce expressions for conserved quantities for both bosonic and fermionic sector: the latter have interpretation as operators, whose

eigenvalues in Cartan algebra are by quantum classical correspondence (QCC) identified as classical values of conserved quantities.

ZEO involves the somewhat questionable assumption that one can assign well-defined Poincare quantum numbers to both boundaries and that these quantum numbers are opposite: this motivates the term ZEO.

1. M^8-H duality [L30] allows to assign to CDs with either boundary fixed a moduli space, which corresponds to Poincare group. The proposal is that Poincare invariance is realized at this level and that the values of conserved charges in Cartan algebra correspond to the Poincare quantum numbers labelling these wave functions. The wave functions at the boundaries of CD could be arranged in representations of Lorentz group acting as exact symmetry of the boundary.

2. There is further little nuisance involved. Only time translations, which correspond to a non-negative time value as distance from the fixed boundary of CD are possible. One would obtain momentum eigenstates restricted to a future or past light-cone. This is of course what happens in TGD based cosmology. Maybe one must just accept this as a physical fact forcing to give up mathematical idealization.

   Formally one would replace the plane wave basis with a basis multiplied by characteristic function for future or past light-cone equal to 1 inside the light-cone and vanishing elsewhere. This basis is closed with respect to summation. This would mean that the states are not anymore exact eigenstates of momentum globally but superposition of Lorentz boosts of the basic momentum obtained by Fourier expanding the characteristic function of future/past light-cone.

   But what about CD which is intersection of future and past directed light-cones? Can one really assign to both boundaries wave functions defined in entire future (or past) directed light-cone? It seems that this is the case. Zero energy state would be entangled state as a superposition of products of boosted momentum eigenstates with opposite momenta representing the characteristic function of CD.

   The usual idea about unitary time evolution for Schrödinger amplitude would be given up inside CD, and replaced by a sequence of unitary time evolutions producing de-localization of the active boundary of CD and followed by a localization.

3. There is still a problem. A complete de-localization for the boundaries of CD is not consistent with the intuitive idea that CD has definite size scale. In wave mechanics the plane waves are only idealizations and in the real world one replaces plane waves with wave packets. Gaussian wave packets have the nice feature that they remain Gaussian in Fourier transformation.

   If one has Gaussian wave packet for the temporal distance between the tips of CD concentrated on certain value of time, the Fourier transform for this is Gaussian wave packet concentrated around certain relative energy, which is two times the energy assignable to say passive boundary of CD. Instead of sharp value of time as distance between the tips of CD one would have Gaussian distribution for its value. This is consistent with Lorentz invariance since zero energy states allow superposition over states with varying momenta assignable to say active boundary. The wave function would be essentially Gaussian in energy in the rest system and one can consider also wave functions in Lorentz group leaving the passive boundary of CD invariant.

2.1.3 SSFRs in ZEO

In the proposed picture the sequence of SSFRs could mean gradual widening of the Gaussian wave packet for the value of measured time as the temporal distance between the tips of CD by discrete steps.

The basic condition is that the states at passive boundary of CD identified as superpositions of 3-surfaces remain unaffected during the sequences of SSFRs increasing the size of CD. This corresponds to generalized Zeno effect and in consciousness theory thr unchanging part of zero energy state corresponds to unchanging part of self, one might call it soul. One can imagine two options.

Option I: CD increases statistically in SSFRs but classical energy is conserved for space-time surfaces connecting its boundaries. Energy density would decreases as CD increases. This does not seem too bad actually: it would be analogous to matter dominated cosmology.

Not only superpositions of 3-surfaces at passive boundary of CD would be conserved but also their 4-D tangent spaces would be unaffected: this is unnecessarily strong a condition for generalized Zeno effect.

Option II: CD increases but classical energies decrease. This looks more plausible- if not the only - option and is strongly favoured by the analogy of CD with expanding cosmology. It also conforms with uncertainty principle. The process would be essentially quantum analog of cooling or analog for what happens for particle in a box expanding adiabatially. The classical energies of the space-time surfaces in zero energy state would thus decrease as CD increases.

Also this option allows the states as superpositions of 3-surfaces to at passive boundary of CD to remain unffected in expansion of CD. The classical energies can however decrease because the space-time surfaces - tangent spaces of space-time surfaces at passive boundary - can change so that also energies can change.

This option is completely analogous to quantum adiabatic change in which the coefficients in the superposition of energy eigenstates are unaffected but energies change.

Option II looks more natural and will be considered in more detail.

1. The constraint that SSFRs as quantum measurements are for observables, which commute with observables, whose eigenstate the state at the passive boundary is, poses very strong constraints on what happens SSFR. Furthermore, preferred extremal is analog of Bohr orbit and cannot cannot be arbitrary pair of 3-surfaces. Therefore, when the CD changes, the preferred extremal also changes as a whole meaning also that also energy changes. These conditions could force adiabatic picture and the analog of Uncertainty Principle for classical energies as function of CD size.

2. The sequence of SSFRs could be also analogous analogous to what happens for a particle in box as the size of the box increases adiabatically: adiabaticity would actually be a hypothesis about what happens in the steps consisting of unitary evolution and SSFR. In adiabatic approximation the coefficients in the superposition of the energy eigenstates do not change at all: only the energies would change.

3. In thermodynamics this kind of process would correspond to a cooling, which could serve as a natural quantum correlate for the cooling in cosmology. In accordance with the idea that quantum TGD in ZEO corresponds to a complex square root of thermodynamics, one could interpret zero energy state as complex square root of thermal partition function for cosmology assignable to CD. The hierarchy of CDs would define Russian doll cosmology.

4. A further manner to understand this is in terms of Uncertainty Principle. As the size scale of CD given by temporal distance between its dips increases, the classical energy decreases. Intuitively the reduction of the classical energy is easy to understand. Increasing CD and keeping the 3-surface as such at passive boundary reduces time gradients at the passive boundary and space-time surface becomes more flat. Energy density is proportional to time gradients of coordinates and its therefore reduced. This argument is also used in inflation theories.

5. Change is the prerequisite of conscious experience and there would be indeed change also at the passive boundary of CD contributing to conscious experience. But in some sense this contribution - the "soul" - should *not* be changing! "Adiabaticity" would translate this idea to the language of physics.

What happens to CD in long run? There are two options.

1. The original assumption was that the location of formerly passive boundary is not changed. This would mean that the size of CD would increase steadily and the outcome would be eventually cosmology: this sounds counter-intuitive. Classically energy and other Poincare charges are conserved for single preferred extremal could fail in BSFRs due to the fact that zero energy states cannot be energy eigenstates.

2. The alternative view suggested strongly $M^8 - H$ duality [L10] is that the size of CD is reduced in BSFR so that the new active boundary can be rather near to the new passive boundary. One could say that the reincarnated self experiences childhood. In this case the size of CD can remain finite and its location in M^8 more or less fixed. One can say that the self associated with the CD is in a kind of Karma's cycle living its life again and again. Since the extension of rationals can change in BSFR and since the number of extensions larger than given extension is infinitely larger than those smaller than it, the dimension of extension identifiable in terms of effective Planck constant increases. Since $n = h_{eff}/h_0$ serves as a kind of IQ, one can say that the system becomes more intelligent.

   Also the temperature assignable to CD remains finite. In cosmological scales it could correspond to the analog of the temperature assignable to CMB. TGD based view about stars as blackhole like entities [L27] leads to the identification of the Hagedorn temperature assignable to the volume filling flux tube giving rise to star with the Hawking temperature of dark radiation at gravitational flux tubes. Even CMB temperature could be assigned with dark photons at gravitational flux tubes. The asymptotic temperature for CD before BSFR could correspond to this temperature.

   One expects that the center of mass coordinates of cm do not appreciably change during the quantum evolution. The hierarchy of CDs would imply that the Universe decomposes effectively to sub-Universes behaving to some degree independently. The view about Karma's cycles provides a more precise formulation of the pre-ZEO idea that systems are artists building themselves as 4-D sculptures. In particular, this applies to mental images in TGD based view about brain. The assumption that stars correspond to repeatedly re-incarnating conscious entities allows to solve several time anomalies in cosmology [L27] so that there would be a direct connection between cosmology and theory of consciousness.

There could be a relationship between quantal flow of geometric time by SSFRs and p-adic variant of time coordinates giving a reason why for p-adicity.

1. TGD predicts geometric time as a real variant and p-adic variants in extensions of various p-adics induced by given extension of rationals (adelic space-time and adelic geometric time). Real and p-adic times share discrete points in the extension of rationals considered: roots of octonionic polynomials defining space-time surfaces as roots for their "real" and "imaginary" parts in quaternionic sense [L29]. The roots of the real polynomial with rational coefficients giving octonionic polynomial as its continuation define space moments of M^4 linear time assignable to special SSFRs. p-Adic time associated with the p-adic balls assignable the points are not well-ordered. One cannot tell about two moments of time which is earlier and which later.

2. This could relate to the corresponding lack of well ordering related to "clock time" associated with self at given level of evolutionary hierarchy defined by the extension of rationals. The increase of "clock time" as a distance between tips of CD for a sequence of small state function reductions (weak measurements) occurs only in statistical sense and "clock time" can also decrease. The moments of time correspond to roots of the real polynomial define "special moments in the life of self", one might say.

   At the limit of infinite-D extension the roots of the polynomial define algebraic numbers forming a dense set in the set of reals. Cognitive representation becomes dense set. These "special moments" need not however become dense.

3. One can raise an interesting question inspired by self inspection. As one types text, it often happen that the letters of the word become in wrong order, change places, and even jump from a word to another one. The experienced order of letters assignable to a sequence of SSFRs is not the same as the order of letters representing the order for the moments of geometric time. When one is tired, the phenomenon is enhanced.

   Neuroscientists can certainly propose an explanation for this. But could this be at deeper level quantum effect based on the above mechanism and have a description in terms of p-adicity assignable to prime p defining a ramified prime for the extension of rationals involved? When one is tired the metabolic resources have petered out and the IQs $n = h_{eff}/h_0$ defined

by dimensions of extensions of rationals for the distribution of extensions tend to reduce, cognitive resolution for time becomes lower and mistakes of this kind become worse.

There is a further technical detail involved. For SSFRs the temporal distance between active boundary and passive boundary increases at least in statistical sense. It seems that one must define the inner product in S-matrix elements for the unitary step preceding SSFR using the previous state basis as sub-basis of the new state basis in the case that CD increases. In adiabatic approximation the S-matrix elements would be overlaps for the states with different size of CD and analogous to matrix elements between states of particle in boxes with the same fixed end but different moving end.

2.1.4 BSFRs in ZEO

Details of BSFR are not completely fixed. One can consider two options. Both options must satisfy the condition that the states at passive boundary of CD identified as superpositions of 3-surfaces remain invariant during the sequence of SSFRs. The tangent space-to the space-time surfaces need not however remain invariant. Therefore the classical energies of space-time surfaces can change since the energy densities are proportional to time derivatives of imbedding space coordinates.

1. The size of CD increases steadily as was the original proposal and is thus not reduce in BSFRs. The problem with the steady increase seems to be that the size of CD becomes infinite eventually and the state evolves to what looks like cosmology. If the energy assignable with zero energy state is conserved, the energy density of matter inside CD increasing without limit becomes arbitrarily small. Is this a catastrophe?

   For TGD inspired cosmology this is the case at the limit of big bang in the sense that the energy density goes like $1/a^2$ (cosmic string dominance) and energy in a co-moving volume vanishes like a, where a is light-cone proper time. One can think that CD defines only perceptive field and that space-time surfaces continue also outside CD up to the maximal size of CD in the hierarchy of selves involved. The zero energy state would have finite energy energy but density of energy would go to zero at the boundary of CD. The perceptive field of conscious entity would increase steadily in size.

   As found, energy need not be conserved in the subsequence SSFRs because Gaussian wave packets of CDs around given size are required so that eigenstates of energy are not in question and the reduction of the width of Gaussian in the sequence of SSFRs implies reduction of average energy. Only the superpositions of 3-surfaces at the passive boundary of CD would be conserved.

   Even the conservation of energy combined with the increase of CD need not be a catastrophe. In matter dominated cosmology the conservation of mass takes place with respect to cosmological time which corresponds to the proper time measured as temporal distance from the passive tip of CD. This cosmological mass is not energy but closely relates to it. What looks of course counter-intuitive is that every self would evolve to a cosmology.

2. The size of CD could be also reduced in BFSR [L29]. $M^8 - H$ duality and existence of "braney" solutions encourages to take this option serious. The 6-D brane like entities correspond to $t = constant$ sections for linear M^4 time t. They would represent special moments in the life of self. The exceptional 6-D roots of octonionic polynomials as branes would emerge to the perceptive field conscious entity at these moment. Discontinuity of classical space-time evolution as SSFR. Every time-reversed re-incarnation of self would have have "childhood" and experience increase of CD from some minimal size to maximal size.

   Since the size of CD can be reduced, it could happen that the CD remains stuck below certain maximal size for ever. The associated mental images would continue living in the geometric past of bigger CD associated with self. The sub-CDs in past would represent memories of self. Cosmos in 4-D sense would be full of life. The interpretation of CD as perceptive field allows this. CD could also increase and become even a cosmology! This picture looks attractive from the view point of consciousness.

3. One can however invent an objection against ZEO, one might even speak about paradox.

(a) Suppose that in biological death I indeed re-incarnate with opposite arrow of time and continue to live towards geometric past. Suppose also that I re-incarnate as more advanced human being - at least in statistical sense. Human beings have parents. But how can I have parents in the former geometric future, if my parents how have already died live in the former geometric past?

(b) The only solution of the paradox seems to be that the magnetic body (MB) - the boss - does not disappear in the death of biological body (BB). The MBs of my parents continue their existence and in my biological death means their separation in stanard time direction and meeting in the new time direction. They meet, fall in love, and give rise to my birth but all this in opposite time direction.

This would provide an answer to a long-standing question about whether MBs are preserved in biological death or not. My view has been that biological death is more or less that MB loses interest in my BB and directs attention to something more interesting. One could however argue that also MB is generated in birth and genes code also for it so that it would die. If directing attention corresponds to BSFR MB would continue to exist after biological death. This particular reincarnation - CD - would be like vortex in the flow of time.

(c) Can one find any support for this crazy looking proposal? TGD Universe is fractal and lower levels in the length scale hierarchies are slaves. In particular, bio-chemical level serves as the slave of MB expected to obey kind of shadow dynamics. If the proposed topological dynamics of MBs solving the above paradox has a miniature representation at the level of DNA, one could take the proposal with some seriousness.

In meiosis (`http://tinyurl.com/n5eqkdn`) germ cells, whose chromosomes are coctails of paternal and maternal chromoses (PCs and MCs), are formed. In fertilization (`http://tinyurl.com/ngzwhcq`) - in some sense a (time?) reversal of meiosis - pairs of PCs and MCs are formed. The fusion of paternal and maternal germ cells could be indeed seen in topological sense as a time reversal of replication. The replication of soma cells involves mitosis (`http://tinyurl.com/p35lkwr`) forming pairs of chromosomes of PCs and MCs.

Could the chromosomal dynamics be a miniature version of the proposed dynamics at the level of MB even at the level of organisms? If so, mitosis at the level of MB would correspond to a loose pairing of paternal and maternal MBs - formation of a relationship. Our personal MBs as analogs of germ cells would be coctails of MBs of PCs and MCs formed by reconnection process.

What about replication? In the case of asexual reproduction (`http://tinyurl.com/y8odomtf`) one could speak about replication at the level of MB of the entire organism. Also cell - and DNA replication would represent examples of asexual reproduction and in meiosis sexual reproduction of also DNA would take place.

When does BSFR occur? I have imagined several options, which need not exclude each other.

1. Could BSFR occur, when there are no observables at the active boundary commuting with those diagonalized at passive boundary. Measurement of observable at means generation of eigenstate in the extension of rationals and it typically occurs that the resulting state is outside the extension. Could BSFR occur when there are no observables in the extension of rationals in question.

2. $M^8 - H$ duality predicts universal special solutions besides 4-D space-time surfaces. These 6-D analogs of branes correspond to n moments of linear M^4 time, where n is the polynomial whose octonionic continuation defines space-time surfaces in M^4 as roots of its real or imaginary part in quaternionic sense. At these branes 4-D space-time surfaces are glued together along their ends- space-time looks is analogous to piecewise continuous curve in time direction - and they would correspond to "special moments in the life of self" [L29]. When all these moments as special roots of the octonionic polynomial are experienced, BSFR would be the only possibility. The polynomial with rationals coefficients defining the octonionic polynomial defines the extension of rationals used so that this option could be consistent with the first option.

3. Is BSFR is forced to occur because there are no preferred extremals connecting the pairs of 3-surfaces exists anymore. Could it happen that the state becomes increasingly classical during the sequence of SSFRs and thus becoming more and more local in WCW (the "world of classical worlds", which is essentially the space of 3-surfaces at either boundary of CD). The unchanging part of the zero energy state associated with the time-reversed state as outcome of BSFR at the new passive boundary would be maximally classical. This might relate to the fact that the world looks so classical. Also the fact BSFRs themselves look classical smooth time evolution ending to the outcome of BSFR, creates the illusion of classicality [L26].

2.2 ZEO, life, and consciousness

The most important implications of ZEO relate to consciousness and quantum biology. One can understand act of free will and motor action in terms of BSFR. BSFR corresponds to motor action and its time-reversal. SSFRs correspond to sensory perception in either direction of time [L21]. Model for memory is one prediction and predicts precognition as time reversal of memory [K2] [L38]. Also the relationship between generation of insight and mechanical logic deductions can be understood. In biology ZEO leads to remote metabolism as a universal purely thermodynamical mechanism of metabolism. One can also understand zero energy states as superpositions of deterministic programs - quantum programs, functions in the sense of quantum biology, or quantum behaviors.

2.2.1 Act of free will, intentionality, and ZEO

Act of free will would correspond to BSFR that is quantum jump leading to final state with opposite arrow of time. Final state is a superposition of deterministic time evolution connecting the 3-surfaces in the superpositions defining initial and the final states. In this picture state function reduction leads to final state inducing time reversed time evolution so that classically the causal order is changed. What in standard picture - say neural activities - causes the outcome, is caused by the outcome. Could it be that that mere volitional act with sharp enough intention is needed? The correct deterministic time evolution is dictated by intention as consequence rather than cause!

Here I cannot avoid the temptation to tell about my own strange experiences. At this age one must remember to take the pills every morning. I have the habit of filling my pill dispenser every Monday morning. I do not bother to count the pills one by one. I just take randomly a bunch of them hoping that their number is correct. And it is! Quite too often! Similar thing happens in market when I pay with coins: I do not count the coins but just take a handful of them. The sum of the coins is correct quite too often! Could a mere sharp intention dictate the outcome. Could one learn gradually this kind of sharp intentions.

Could this be crucial for various skills like playing tennis or computer game, where one simply cannot react rapidly by computing the outcome since time does not allow it? Could this explain also mathematical/physical/.. intuition as skill to solve problems by making quantum jump directly to the solution of the problem.

2.2.2 Precognition and ZEO

It seems that neuroscientists are beginning to take remote mental interactions such as precognition, telepathy, and psychokinesis seriously. The popular article entitled "*Scientists Discover That The Heart & Brain Respond To Future Events Before They Happen*" (see `http://preview.tinyurl.com/y494hw5u`) describes changing views of neuroscientists towards precognition.

In ZEO precognitions are naturally time-reversed memories. Classical signals giving rise to sensory experience arrive from geometry future in the standard frame. During sleep state precognition should be possible if sleep corresponds to time-reversed state for the self.

In the associative and computational models of brain our ability to predict the future is taken to be an extrapolation based on memories and experience of earlier life. This looks very reasonable but when one asks how these memories are represented, problems begin to appear. In TGD framework ZEO predicts that memories correspond to mental images in geometric past, in the simplest case, when the original event took place. This solves a huge problem of standard since memory storage becomes brain in 4-D sense rather than in 3-D sense [K2].

ZEO however implies that also time reversed memories are possible. If sleep state correspond to time reversed self about which we do not have direct memories, memories with reversed arrow of time would be possible in this state. Precognition becomes possible if these memories can be communicated to the wake-up state with the ordinary arrow of time. In dreams some parts of brain are awake and they could make possible this communication. The communicated information could be also conscious to some selves above or below us in the hierarchy. Dreams can indeed predict what happens during the next day. The classical book "*An Experiment with Time* " (see `http://tinyurl.com/jtqysty`) of J. W. Dunne tells about precognitive dreams that he experienced.

2.2.3 Intuitive and formal logical reasoning in ZEO

The basic vision is that adelic space-time geometry provides correlates for sensory experience and cognition/imagination. Fermionic degrees of freedom would represent quantal Boolean mind. In ZEO given deterministic time evolution for 3-surface and induced spinor fields would give rise to sensory and cognitive time evolution and to Boolean evolution having interpretation as analog of logical deduction leading from premises to conclusions.

1. The basis of fermionic Fock states can be regarded as Boolean algebra. Superpositions and thus entanglement of fermionic qubits are however possible and one can speak about quantum Boolean logic. In standard view concepts are formally regarded as sets containing the instances of concept as elements. Quantum concepts could be superposition of quantum states representing the instances so that quantum abstraction would be much more complex notion than ordinary abstraction. Non-classical Boolean states would be superpositions of statements identifiable as abstractions. Schrödinger cat would be seen abstraction. "Dead" and "alive" would represent instances of this abstraction.

2. Zero energy states are superpositions of initial and final fermion states and there is also a superposition over 3-surfaces, and could be interpreted as representations for implications. The sum $\sum_n S_{mn}|n\rangle$, where S denotes unitary S-matrix, represents a superposition over all transitions $|m\rangle \to |n\rangle$ allowed by laws of physics. These transitions could be interpreted as logical implications.

   One could argue that by diagonalizing S-matrix one obtains only diagonal transitions and the situation is rather trivial: just logical identities. The point is however that in number theoretical physics the diagonalization of S would in general lead outside the extension of rationals determining the adele and is therefore not possible. Same number theoretical mechanism would also stabilize negentropic entanglement and could force BSFR. Only state big state function reduction extending the extension of rationals can reduce this kind of entanglement.

3. Probably every mathematician has pondered the mystery of mathematical insight. How for instance mathematical insight is generated? What eureka experience is basically? Insight would correspond naturally to a big state function reduction leading to a new state reversing the arrow of time.

   Truth can be deduced in given system of axioms also mechanically - at least in principle. How does insight relate to a logical deduction leading to a theorem? The final state of quantum jump is superposition of classical time evolutions leading from the final state to geometric past. With respect to standard arrow of time it is superposition of logical deductions leading from various initial states- initial assumptions - to the final state - to the outcome of the deduction. Superposition of states at boundary of CD could be seen as an abstraction. Deterministic time evolutions would represent the mechanical deductions.

   Note however that in the time reversed state arbitrary long time evolution in opposite time direction is in principle possible and would correspond to an arbitrary long ordinary deduction or computation [L7]. After that a return to the original arrow of time would take place and provide the solution. The formal deduction leading to the outcome would be indeed forced by the outcome rather than vice versa?

2.2.4 Metabolism in ZEO

ZEO has also deep implications for biology. As already explained, ZEO allows to understand what behaviors, biological functions are at fundamental level.

Why metabolism is needed can be understood in TGD view about dark matter as phases of ordinary matter labelled by the value of effective Planck constant $h_{eff} = n \times h_0$, where n has also interpretation as dimension of extension of rationals giving rise to the extension of adeles [L16, L15]. n serves as a kind of IQ labelling different evolutionary levels and is bound to increase in statistical sense. Not only biology but also self-organization involving also energy feed could be understand in terms of the hierarchy of Planck constant.

In ZEO remote metabolism suggests itself as a completely universal purely thermodynamical mechanism of metabolism. Usually system loses its energy by dissipation. If the arrow of time is non-standard, systems seems to receive energy from environment. Note that the duration of time spent in time reversed state does not matter! What matters is the increment of time between states with same arrow of time! Sleep state could be seen also as a manner to collect metabolic energy. BSFR can be seen as an act of free will - motor action and sucking of metabolic energy from "environment" would be very natural.

The interpretation for the return to the original time direction by second BSFR would be as beginning of sensory perceptions in standard arrow of time as sequences of SSFRs. During this period subsystem would be dissipating energy to environment.

2.3 Under what conditions does BSFR take place and what happens in it?

In the following the question under what conditions "Big" state function reduction (BSFR) takes place and what happens in it.

2.3.1 Two kinds of state function reductions

The discussion however requires the basic ideas of ZEO as background.

1. *"Small" state function reductions (SSFRs)*

Small state function reductions (SSFRs) are counterparts of so called "weak measurements", which are rather near to classical measurements in the sense that nothing drastic happens.

1. The passive boundary of CD does not shift but changes in size because active boundary shifts and this induces change of size. For state pairs defining zero energy states the members at passive boundary do not change and the coefficients of possibly time-entangled state defined as their superposition do not change. The members of state pairs at active boundary change and this change is induced by unitary time evolution between too SSFRs. This time evolution could be regarded as a generalization of adiabatic time evolution.

2. In statistical sense the active boundary shifts towards future and the size of CD increases. The temporal distance between the tips defines clock time in one-one correspondence with SSFRs. Note that the unitary evolution forms a superposition of CDs with different sizes and SSFR means localization to single CD size.

3. The moment "Now" of self would naturally correspond to the M^4 hyper-plane dividing CD into two pieces of identical size. The radius of this 3-ball would be $r = T/2$, where T is the temporal distance between the tips of CD. At this hyperplane expansion of 3-ball with light-velocity would transform to contraction.

4. The mental images of self would correspond sub-CDs and also they would shifts towards geometric future in the sequence SSFRs. They would form a kind of log file about the life history of self such that geometric time order would be opposite to subjective time order. Self could remember these experiences by sending signals to geometric future reflecting back in time direction - seeing in time direction would be in question.

What is in sharp conflict with natural expectation is that the memories would be stored in geometric future and part of them would become un-changing permanent part for the time reversed re-incarnation of self- kind of Karma.

Note however that self might have also mental images represented as sub-CDs in geometric past.

$M^8 - H$-duality suggests space-time picture about the "log files".

1. 4-D space-time surfaces in complexified M^8 having interpretation as complexified octonions are 4-D roots for octonion valued polynomial obtained as an algebraic continuation of a real polynomial with rational or even algebraic coefficients. $M^8 - H$ correspondence maps thee surfaces to minimal surfaces with 2-D singularities in H [L30, L29].

2. Besides this one obtains for any polynomial also special solutions as analogs of branes in M-theory. They have topology of 6-D ball and their projection to M^4 is $t = r_n$ hyperplane intersecting CD and with topology of 3-ball. r_n is a root of P and thus an algebraic number. I have called $t = r_n$ "very special moments in the life of self". Generalized vertices for particle reactions would correspond to partonic 2-surfaces localized at these 6-surfaces. At these surfaces incoming and outgoing partonic orbits would be glued together along their ends. The roots define positions of external particles at the boundaries of CD.

3. In SSFRs these balls at the active half of CD would shift towards future and new roots would emerge. These roots wold define a geometric representation of the memories of CD as "log file" increasing in size. If there are sub-CDs associate with them, one would have mental images shifting towards future.

2. "Big" state function reductions (BSFRs)

"Big" state function reductions (BSFRs) correspond to ordinary state function reductions (SFRs) in ZEO. In BSFR the roles of active and passive boundaries of CD are changed and the arrow of geometric time changes since the formerly passive boundary starts to shift to opposite time direction. State function reduction not commuting with the observables defining states at passive boundary as their eigenstates would takes place and the state at passive boundary would be changed. It would be however fixed by quantum dynamics. The findings of Minev et al provide support for the change of the arrow of time in ordinary SFR [L26].

The passive boundary can be shifted towards future so that the size of CD would decrease. One can say that the re-incarnate would be experience childhood. Note that also part of the "log file" about often personal experiences of self towards end of its life defining the permanent part of self-hood of the re-incarnate would disappear. The interpretation in terms of Karma is suggestive.

Remark: During a discussion with Marko Manninen, Marko noticed that people who have had near death experience often report that they experienced their entire life like a film during these moments. Could the "log file" representing stored mental images give rise to this experience at the moment of death?

2.3.2 What happens in biological death from TGD perspective?

What happens in biological death can be taken as a guideline in attempts to understand what happens in BSFR.

1. Death certainly occurs if there is no metabolic energy feed to the system. Metabolic energy feed is guaranteed by nutrition using basic molecules as metabolites. Since the increase of h_{eff} quite generally requires energy if other parameters are kept constant and since the reduction of h_{eff} can take spontaneously, the metabolic energy is needed to keep the distribution of values of h_{eff} stationary or even increase it - at least during the growth of organism and perhaps also during the mature age when it would go to increase of h_{eff} at MB.

   If the size of CD for at least MB correlates with the maximum value of h_{eff} or its average, the size of CD cannot grow and can be even reduced if the metabolic energy feed is too low.

The starving organism withers and its mental abilities are reduced. This could correspond to the reduction of maximum/average value of h_{eff} and also size of CD.

One can argue that if the organism loses metabolic energy feed or is not able to utilize the metabolic energy death and therefore also BSFR must take place.

2. In ZEO self-organization reduces to the second law in reversed direction of geometric time at the level of MB inducing effective change of arrow of time at the level of biological body [L31]. The necessary energy feed correspond to dissipation of energy in opposite time direction. In biological matter energy feed means its extraction from the metabolites fed to the system. One could say that system sends negative energy to the systems able to receive it. A more precise statement is that time reversed subs-system dissipates and metabolites receive the energy but in reversed time direction.

   In living matter sub-systems with non-standard arrow of time are necessary since their dissipation is needed to extract metabolic energy. The highest level dissipates in standard time direction and there must be a transfer of energy between different levels. This hierarchy of levels with opposite arrows of geometric time would be realized at the level of MB.

2.3.3 Death as a re-incarnation with opposite arrow of time

These observations suggest that one should consider the reincarnation with opposite arrow of time with wisdom coming from the death of biological systems.

1. We know what happens in death and birth in biological systems. What happens in biological death should have analogy at general level. In particular, in death the decay of the system to components should occur. Also the opposite of this process with reversed arrow of time should take place and lead at molecular level to the replication of DNA and RNA and build-up of basic biomolecules and at the cell level to cell replications and development of organs. How these processes could correspond to each other?

2. The perceived time corresponds to the hyperplane $t = T/2$ dividing CD to parts of same size. Here T is the distance between the tips of CD and therefore to maximal diameter of temporal slice of cd, which is 3-ball. The part of CD above it shifts towards future in SSFRs. In BSFR parts of the boundary of space-time surfaces at the active boundary of CD become unchanging permanent parts of the re-incarnate - kind of log file about the previous life. One can say that the law of Karma is realized.

   If CD decreases in size in BSFR the former active boundary keeps its position but its size as distance between its tips is scaled down: $T \to T_1 \leq T$. The re-incarnate would start from childhood at $T - T_1/2$ and would get partially rid of the permanent part of unchanging self-hood corresponding to interval $[T - T_1/2, T/2]$ so that the permanent part of reincarnate would correspond to $[T - T_1/2, T]$. Reincarnate would start almost from scratch, so to say. The part between $T - T_1/2$ and T would be preserved as analog of what was called BIOS in personal computers.

3. At the moment of birth CD possibly would thus decrease in size and the former passive boundary now in the range $[T - T_1/2, T - T_1]$ and lower tip of new CD at $T - T_1$ would become active and the seat of sensory experience. Arrow of time would change. Where the analog of biological decay is located? The region of CD in the range $[T/2, T - T_1/2]$ disappearing from "log file" is the natural candidate. This region is also the place, where the events related to birth in opposite time direction should take place.

4. The decay of the organism should therefore correspond to the development and birth of re-incarnated organism at the level of MB (it must be also remembered that genuine time reversal takes place at the level of MB and induces only effective time reversal at the level of ordinary bio-matter). The decay of organism dissipates energy in standard time direction: this energy could used by the re-incarnate as metabolic energy. How long lasting biochemical processes have effective time reversals depends on the quantum coherence scale determined by the size scale of corresponding CD.

2.3.4 Could the re-incarnations with opposite arrow of time be seen in bio-chemistry?

The possible occurrence of effective time reversals at the level of bio-chemistry could be perhaps tested experimentally.

1. Could the replication of DNA and RNA and build-up of various bio-molecules be effective time-reversals for their decays. Could the same apply to the replication of cells and generation of organs. Replication of DNA is self-organization process in which second DNA strand serves as a template for a new one. The decay of DNA should therefore involve two DNA strands such that the second DNA strand serves as a template for the effectively time reversed replication. The double strand structure indeed makes possible for the other strand to decay first. Cell replication should use another cell as replicate and same would happen in the cell decay.

2. An interesting mental exercise is to imagine the time reversals of various basic processes like transcription and translation. In the time reversal of translation of mRNA to amino-acid sequence the amino-acid sequence and mRNA would return to ribosome machinery, and amino-acid and tRNA codon associated with tRNA would return to form tRNA. mRNA strand would shift one step backwards and the process would repeat itself and finally mRNA strand would return to open DNA strand. In the time reversal of transcription of DNA to mRNA, mRNA strand would return to open part of DNA strand, decay to RNA codons and eventually DNA strand would close. It should be easy to check whether these processes really occur in the decay process.

3. The formation of stem cells involves de-differentiation. Could it mean time reversal of the entire process leading to a differentiated cell? Also this idea could be tested.

In biology pairs of various structures often occur. Could they correspond in some sense to effective time reversals of each other whereas at the level of magnetic body one would have genuine time reversals

1. Could the opposite inherent chiralities of MBs of DNA strands correspond to opposite arrows of time at the level of MB of DNA realizing dark genetic code [L5]? Could this be seen as a kind of explanation for the double strand structure of DNA. Could the passivity of DNA strand with respect to transcription correspond to opposite arrow of time at the level of MB? Could the passive strand become active in time reversal?

2. Even brain has this kind of pairing. Right brain hemisphere is passive in the sense that it does not seem to contribute to wake-up intelligence (presumably identified as analytic intelligence). Could either hemisphere serve as a template in the development of brain or could this happen only at the level of MB of brain? Could different time arrows at the level of MB be used to understand the strange passive character of right brain and could one one understand the holism of right brain *viz.* analytic reductionism of left brain as reflection of the fact that dissipation as decay corresponds to time reversal for self-organization generating structures at the level of MB.

2.3.5 What about ordinary re-incarnation?

A couple of comments relating to the notion of re-incarnation in standard sense are in order.

1. Eastern philosophies talk about the possibility of liberation from Karma's cycle. Can one imagine something like this? The above picture would suggest that in this kind of process the reduction of the size of CD does not occur at all and therefore there would be no decay process equivalent to the growth of time reversed organism. This would serve as an empirical signature for the liberation - if possible at all. CD would continue to increase in size or perhaps keep its size. It would seem that a new kind of non-biological source of metabolic energy would be needed.

2. Reincarnation is a basic notion in Eastern philosophies. In ordinary reincarnation person has memories about life of a person, who lived earlier. There is evidence for this. This cannot be understood in terms of time reversed re-incarnation.

   Recall that there would be a hierarchy of selves and corresponding CDs within CDs. It has remained an open question whether CDs could also overlap? Could re-incarnation in ordinary sense be explained in terms of this kind of overlap?

   Suppose that one has two overlapping CDs: CD_1 and CD_2 and that CD_2 extends farther to the future of CD_1. The sub-CDs of CD_1 shift to future as the active part of CD_1 shifts to future and increases in size giving rise to a kind of log file defining the personal memories of CD_1. In this kind of situation the mental images of CD_1 can enter to CD_2 and become mental images of CD_2. This would be sharing of mental images but in different sense as compared to the fusion of mental images by entanglement, which could also require intersection of sub-CDs of mental images.

   Could one imagine that the cosmos is full of selves serving as counterparts of memes wandering around and finding for selves hosting them by providing metabolic energy? Note that ZEO means that CD center of mass degrees of freedom do not carry any conserved quantum numbers so that the motion of these lonely CDs would not be restricted by conservation laws!

3. This picture suggests that CD:s form a conscious fractal atlas consisting of charts with various resolutions analogous to the atlas defining a covering of manifold by open sets. The earlier proposal was that in biological death MB redirects its attention to a new system. This picture would be modified: the MB of of CD_1 would still attend the time-reversed system and experience time-reversed life. Some sub-CDs of CD_1 would however belong to a new CD in its geometric future - CD_2. This conforms with the intuitive expectation that space-time surfaces continue outside CD and only the perceptive field of conscious entity is restricted to CD.

4. Mental images should correspond to sub-selves and therefore sub-CDs of CD. Contrary to what I have proposed earlier, it seems that after images cannot correspond to BSFR type re-incarnations of mental images nor re-incarnations in standard sense.

   Mental images would shift towards the future together with active part of CD and form a kind of log file. Could after images be memories of previous mental images involving a signal time reflect from the the mental image in log file and creating the after image as a sensory memory of the earlier visual mental image? Or could one understand after images in terms of propagation of dark photon signals along closed magnetic loops giving rise to periodically occurring mental images.

In [L42] I discussed how the evolution of self by BSFRs could correspond to a transition to chaos as iteration of the polynomial defining the space-time surface. The proposed picture was that the evolution by SSFRs corresponds to iteration of a polynomial P assignable to the active boundary of CD. This would predict a continual increase of the degree of the polynomial involved. This is however only one possibility to interpret the evolution of self as iteration leading to chaos.

1. One could argue that the polynomial $P_{nk} = P_n \circ .... \circ P_n$ associated with the active boundary remains the same during SSFRs as long as possible. This because the increase of degree from nk to $n(k+1)$ in $P_{nk} \to P_{nk} \circ P_n$ increases h_{eff} by factor $(k+1)/k$ so that the metabolic feed needed to preserve the value of h_{eff} increases.

   Rather, when all roots of the polynomials P assignable to the active boundary of CD are revealed in the gradual increase of CD preserving P_{nk}, the transition $P_{nk} \to P_{nk} \circ P_n$ could occur provided the metabolic resources allow this. Otherwise BSFR occurs and self dies and re-incarnates. The idea that BSFR occurs when metabolic resources are not available is very natural for this option.

2. Could $P_{nk} \to P_{nk} \circ P_n$occur only in BSFRs so that the degree n of P would be preserved during single life cycle of self - that n can increase only in BSFRs was indeed the original guess.

While preparing this contribution I learned about a highly interesting claim (`https://tinyurl.com/yap8ss4p`) made by the research group led by Harold Katcher. The claim is that the epigenetic age (there are several measures for it such as methylation level of DNA) of rats has been reduced up to 50 percent. The theory goes that epigenetic age of molecules would be controllable by hormonal signalling globally.

BSFR would mean death of conscious entity and its reincarnation with opposite arrow of time. The system would rejuvenate in the transition starting a new life in opposite time direction from childhood so to say - rejuvenation would be in question. Doing this twice would lead to life with original arrow of time but starting in rejuvenated state. The claim of the group suggests that living matter could do this systematically using hormonal control.

2.4 Conditions on the periods with reversed arrow of time

In zero energy ontology (ZEO) falling asleep (death at"my" level of self the hierarchy) corresponds to ordinary - or "big" - state function reduction (BSFR) and also means a reincarnation with opposite arrow of time. We would be therefore conscious during sleep and wake-up would correspond to falling sleep of that other, time reversed self.

When I fall asleep, I wake-up later tomorrow morning for instance, not yesterday morning. It is interesting to see what kind of conditions this implies and whether it is possible to satisfy this easily and even more interesting is to see whether a time travel to the geometric past - maybe the Golden Youth - could be possible.

The following assumptions are made about what happens in BSFR.

1. Causal diamond (CD) is a correlate for self. CD is obtained by gluing together two identical half-cones along their bottoms. Moment "Now" corresponds to the largest hyperplane $T_{now} = T$ (origin of time coordinate is at either (call it "lower") tip of CD) .

2. During the sequence of SSFRs defining self, the 3-surfaces at the passive boundary of self are fixed although their 4-D tangent space changes and corresponds to the unchanging part of selfhood - soul one might say. The opposite active boundary of CD and 3-surfaces at it change and shift towards geometric future.This gives rise to wake-up consciousness involving sensory input and thoughts, emotions etc. induced by it. Each SSFR is preceded by the analog of unitary time evolution.

3. BSFR means a death of self (subself) and its reincarnation with an opposite arrow of time. One can equally well speak about the analog of falling in sleep and waking up after that for some level of hierarchy of selves. The self born in the death of the self with an opposite arrow of time self has no direct memories about the state. Self can however have memories about dreams in which part of say brain is awake. These memories store information about what self experienced during the sleep.

   In BSFR the active boundary of the CD becomes passive and is frozen. The size of CD is scaled down so that CD becomes small: this implies that the reincarnated self has a childhood and much of the memories - often not pleasant - stored near the active boundary as subselves living forth and back as conscious entities disappear. The surviving memories of self become "silent wisdom" of the reincarnated self.

4. If CD belongs to a larger CD, call it CD_{super} representing a larger unit of consciousness, the sub-CDs must shift to the same direction as the active boundary of CD_{super}. Otherwise the sub-CDs would drop from the flow of consciousness. This is analogous to co-movement of matter in cosmology.

   Note that the mental images of self correspond to sub-CDs around T_{now} and shift towards geometric future as CD increases and new mental images emerges at T_{now} plane: by $M^8 - H$ correspondence these special moments in the life of self correspond to roots of the polynomial defining space-time surface and reside are the upper half-cone of the CD. As CD increases, new roots pop up inside the upper half-cone near the T_{now} hyper-plane for some particular SSFRs. Completely counterintuitively, the mental images about past experiences are therefore in the geometric future of T_{now} hyperplane!

The proposed picture must be consistent with everyday experience. Call the two periods of self sleep wake-up and sleep label the two different BSFRs by "sleep" and "wake-up".

1. In each SSFR CD size increases - at least in statistical sense this implies that T grows. Each SSFR corresponds to a scaling for the CD shifting its active boundary towards the geometric future. During its life cycle CD experiences scaling Λ:

$$T_{now} \to T_{now,sleep_1} = \Lambda(SSFR)T_{now} \ , \ \Lambda(SSFR) > 1 \ .$$

2. When the system falls in sleep the size of CD is scaled down so that also the value of T_{now} is scaled down by $\Lambda_{BSFR} < 1$:

$$T_{now,sleep_2} = (1-\Lambda(BSFR))2T_{now,sleep_1} = (1-\Lambda(BSFR))\Lambda(SSFR)2T_{now} \ , \ \Lambda(BSFR) < 1 \ .$$

After that the CD begins to increase in size by small scalings in SSFRs to opposite time direction and T_{now} begins to decrease from its value $T_{now,sleep}$ begins to decrease.

3. If CD belongs to a bigger CD - call it super-CD - representing a larger unit of consciousness with a longer life cycle, one can argue that the CD must shift to the same direction as the larger CD increases. Otherwise the CD would drop from the flow of consciousness defined by super-CD. This is analogous to co-movement of matter in cosmology. Therefore a given life cycle corresponds also a shift ΔT of sub-CDs towards the growth direction of super-CD takes place and one has for the time coordinate $T_{super,now}$ of the super-CD. Therefore one must perform shift$T \to T + \Delta T$ for $T_{now,sleep_1}$ and $T_{now,sleep_2}$ to take into account the drifting. This gives for the moments "Now" before ad after the shrinking of CD in BSFR (falling asleep):

$$T_{super,now,sleep_1} = T_0 + T_{now,sleep_1} + \Delta T \ ,$$

$$T_{super,now,sleep_2} = T_0 + (1 - \Lambda(BSFR))2T_{now,sleep_1} + \Delta T \ .$$

4. Similar formula holds true for the moment of wake-up. In the previous formula T_{now} is replaced with $T_{now,sleep_2}$ and one has

$$T_{super,now,wakeup_1} = T_0 + \Lambda^{1)}(SSFR)T_{now,sleep_2} + \Delta T^{1)} \ ,$$

$$T_{super,now,wakeup_2} = T_0 + (1 - \Lambda^{1)}(BSFR))\Lambda^{1)}(SSFR)2T_{now,sleep_2} + \Delta T^{1)} \ .$$

The parameter T_0 depends on the choice of the origin of time for super-CD but is irrelevant.

One can deduce a consistency condition for the parameters of the model.

1. During the sleep period the time coordinate $T_{super,now}$ for moment "Now" in the coordinates of larger CD changes in the following manner:

$$T_{super,now,sleep} = T_0 + T_{now,sleep_1} \to T_{super,now,wakeup}$$

$$= T_0 + \Lambda^{1)}(BSFR)T_{super,now,sleep_2} + \Delta T^{1)} \ .$$

T_0 is an irrelevant parameter associated with super-CD. Note that there is breaking of time reversal symmetry since self associated with CD_{super} has fixed arrow of time unlike CD. Hence ΔT has at least in a statistical sense the same sign irrespective of the arrow of time of self.

2. This picture should be consistent with what we observe. When the tired average self fall a sleep at the evening, it wakes wake-up at the morning and is full of energy. Quite generally, wake-up occurs after time $\Delta T(sleep)$ meaning that the value of time T_{super} has increased by

$$T_{super,now,wakeup} = T_{super,now}(sleep_1) + \Delta T(sleep) \ .$$

These two expressions for the value of $T_{super,now}(wakeup)$ must be consistent and this gives a conditions on the parameters involved:

$$(1 - \Lambda^{1)}(BSFR))\Lambda^{1)}(SSFR)2T_{now,sleep_1} + \Delta T^{1)}$$

$$= T_{now,sleep_1} + \Delta T + \Delta T(sleep) \ .$$

$\Delta T(sleep)$ is given by

$$\Delta T(sleep) = [(1 - \Lambda^{1)}(BSFR))\Lambda^{1)}(SSFR)2 - 1]T_{now,sleep_1} + \Delta T^{1)} - \Delta T \ .$$

Intuitively it seems clear that for a given arrow of time it is not possible to wake-up before one falls asleep, and the condition $\Delta T(sleep) > 0$ for the standard arrow of time gives a constraint on the parameters. One cannot however exclude the possibility of time travel without dying or falling asleep first of the duration of time travel is much longer than that of wave-up period: $\Delta T^{1)} - \Delta T$.

A special solution corresponds to $\Delta T(sleep) = \Delta T^{1)} - \Delta T$ and $(1-\Lambda^{1)}(BSFR))2\Lambda^{1)}(SSFR) = 1$ giving $T_{now,sleep_2} = T_{now}$.

3 Still about quantum measurement theory in ZEO

The relation between zero energy ontology (ZEO) based quantum measurement theory and adelic vision could be much clearer. The following considerations suggest a more precise picture about cognitive representations and formulation of quantum measurement theory for them.

In the sequel ZEO based theory of consciousness [L17, L35] as quantum measurement theory is discussed first by starting with a criticism of physicalism and after that introducing ZEO based view about consciousness as quantum measurement theory as a solution to the problems of physicalism.

After this the relation between zero energy ontology (ZEO) based quantum measurement theory and adelic vision [L15, L16] is discussed. The considerations suggest a more precise picture about cognitive representations and formulation of quantum measurement theory for them. One can generalize classical cognitive representations as number theoretical discretizations of space-time surfaces in the extension of rationals considered to their quantum counterparts as wave functions in the Galois group of the extension and introduce also fermions as spinors in the group algebra of Galois group. The strongest option is purely number theoretical representations of spinors as spinors in this group algebra. Presumably however M^8 spinors are required and have interpretation in terms of octonion structure.

An attractive vision is that number theoretical quantum measurements reduce to measurement cascades involving a sequence of state function reductions reducing the entanglement between wave functions in sub-Galois group H and group G/H and ends up to a prime Galois group for group algebra has prime dimension and represents Hilbert space prime not decomposable to tensor product.

Also time measurement is considered from the number theoretic perspective assuming $M^8 - H$ duality [L29]. Clock readings are realized as roots of the rational polynomial determining the space-time surface in M^8. Time measurement would involve a localization to a definite extension of rationals, whose dimension $n$must be proportional to the temporal distance T between the tips of causal diamond (CD) to guarantee fixed time and energy resolution.

3.1 ZEO based theory of consciousness as quantum measurement theory

Consider first zero energy ontology (ZEO) based quantum measurement theory as a theory of consciousness.

3.1.1 Criticism of physicalism

It is good to start with a criticism of physicalism.

1. In physicalism consciousness would reduce to a physical property, like energy, momentum or charge and one would have the hard problem. There would be absolutely no idea why for instance sensory qualia emerge and how they correspond to sensory input. For instance, the assignment of sensory qualia to brain regions leads to a mystery: auditory, visual, etc. areas look exactly the same. How they can give rise to so different qualia?

   Remark: The answer to the question is that this is not possible. I n TGD framework macroscopic quantum coherence and ZEO allow to assume that sensory qualia are seated at sensory organs [L9].

2. This is not the only problem: free will is not possible and we must stop talking about ethics and moral as we have indeed done in modern free market economy, which threatens to destroy our civilization.

3. The third problem of physicalism and also idealism is that conscious experience is about something: it carries information about something, external world, my body, even about my thoughts. It is associated with a pair of systems- me and the rest of the world - rather than single system as consciousness as a physical property implies. This "aboutness", kills the physicalistis view and actually idealism and under reasonable assumptions also dualism. Standard ontologies of consciousness fail.

Physicalistic approach has also problems with quantum measurement theory. The basic problems are basically due to the fact that observer as a conscious entity remains an outsider: observations affect the measured system but theory cannot say anything about observer as subjective entity. In ZEO the situation is different [L35] (`http://tinyurl.com/wd7sszo`) .

1. Quantum jump defines the basic building brick of conscious experience. It is something between two different quantum worlds, not in the world as a physical property of quantum system. Consciousness is a moment of re-creation. This a solves the hard problem and problem of free will.

2. Also the paradox of state function reduction can be solved if one can understand the problems related to the notion of time. There are two times: experienced time and geometric time, or the clock time. They are very different. Experienced time irreversible and has preferred moment "Now". Geometric time reversible and without preferred "Now". For some reason these times have been however identified.

3.1.2 ZEO based quantum measurement theory

In ZEO physical states as time= constant snapshots are replaced by pairs of "initial" and "final" states A and B or - by holography - with superpositions of deterministic time evolutions from A to B with respect to geometric time - note the analogy with computer program in computer science, behavior pattern in neuroscience, and function in biology.

1. In "small" state function reductions (SFRs) -"weak" measurements - the superposition of time evolutions from A to B is replaced with a new one such that states A at passive end - "initial state" - are not changed. Classical determinism is respected although one has quantum jump and generalization of quantum measurement theory. Two times - two causalities. The temporal distance T between A and B increases in statistical sense and this gives the correspondence between experienced time as sequence of state function reductions and geometric time is identified as T. These measurements changing B correspond to "weak" measurements analogous to classical measurements and to sensory input. A represents permanent part of selfness, "soul" one might say.

2. In "big" (ordinary) state function reductions (BSFRs) the roles of "initial" and "final" states change and the arrow of geometric time changes. Self dies and reincarnates with an opposite arrow of geometric time.

3. In more precise view the pairs of time=constant snapshots are replaced with what I call causal diamonds (CDs). The assumption that the size of CD is preserved In BSFR as assumed originally leads to some paradoxical looking implications. For instance, the size of CDs assignable to our sub-selves identifiable as mental images would increase without bound. $M^8 - H$ duality suggests strongly that the sizes of CDs can decrease in BSFR: the formerly active boundary would be frozen but the temporal distance of formerly passive boundary would be reduced so that the size of CD would decrease. One could say that self has childhood and starts from scratch with all sins of previous life forgiven.

This picture about state function reduction finds considerable empirical support.

1. The paradoxical experimental findings of Minev et al in atomic systems challenging standard quantum measurement theory give strong support for the reversal of the arrow of time in BSFR [L26] [L26] (`http://tinyurl.com/yj9prkho`).

2. Also Libet's finding that experience of free will [J1] seems to be preceded - caused - by neural activity, can be understood. It is not anymore support for the claim that free will is an illusion. State function reduction changing time order happens, and free will causes neural activity in the geometric past.

3. There is are lot of support for the new view about time from biology. For instance, self-organization - not only biological - could be understood as involving time reversal meaning that the time reversed reduction of order implied by generalization of second law looks from standard observer's viewpoint like increase of order. Self-assembly and generation of structures in long scales would involve increase of time order. Evolution is second aspect of self-organization and reduces to the unavoidable increase of h_{eff} as dimension for extension of rationals. Also the need for energy feed - metabolic energy feed in living matter - can be understood because the increase of h_{eff} keeping other parameters constant, increases energy scale. Dark matter would be visible everywhere in sharp contrast with standard prejudices.

4. There is support even from cosmology and astrophysics, where TGD predicts quantum jumps in macroscopic scales. For instance, stars older than Universe can be understood in more detailed picture about ZEO [L27, L28] (`http://tinyurl.com/tf38xnx`).

One can of course criticize the view about the role of clock time as the distance T between the tips of CD as over-simplified [L35].

1. The state function reductions preceding SSFRs are preceded by unitary processes U. What one can say about "time evolution" U. First of all, U is assumed to produce a zero energy state de-localized in the space of CDs - in particular with respect to the distance T between the tips of CD.

   The simplest guess is that in SSFR a complete localization in T - measurement of T - and other moduli of CD (say boost with respect to the lower tip of CD) occurs. Can one reduce the localization in T to a SSFR reducing quantum entanglement or is time measurement something different? What entanglement of CD sizes with different values of T with the measurement apparatus could mean? What the presence of a measurement apparatus for time T - the clock at fundamental level, could mean mathematically? Later also the question whether one could reduce this measurement to pure number theory emerges?

2. The notion of completely localized state is over-idealization and also mathematically poorly defined. Gaussian wave packet over classical states with well-defined classical conserved energy (by Poincare invariance) with respect to T localized around some value T_0 is a more realistic notion and time measurement would mean localization to a wave packet around T_0.

   In [L35] the proposal that the time evolution of self could be seen an analog of cooling process analogous to cosmic cooling is considered. This would correspond to an adiabatic time evolution happening for a particle in box whose size increases slowly. In this process the coefficients in a superposition of states with given classical energy remain unaffected but the classical energies of the states themselves decrease. This would conform with Uncertainty Principle stating that the classical energies scale as $1/T$.

3.1.3 A more detailed view about quantum measurement in ZEO

Consider next in more detail what state function as quantum measurement means in TGD.

1. In standard quantum measurement theory quantum measurements are often thought to be performed by humans only. In TGD one assumes that state function reduction as analog of quantum measurement is universal and can take place for any pair of mutually entangled systems unentangled from its complement.

2. Density matrix for the entangled pair of systems is the fundamental observable. This applies to both BSFRs and SSFRs at active boundary of CD, which correspond to "weak" measurements commuting with the observables diagonalized at the passive boundary of CD and thus leaving the states at it invariant.

3. Quantum measurement involves typically measurement of several observables. This is realized as a measurement cascade. First the quantum measurement of density matrix occurs for some pair formed sub-system S_1 and its complement S_2 forming together system S. After the same occurs for S_1 and S_2. Observables correspond to density matrices in this cascade. One proceeds as along as new decompositions are found. If the final state belongs to a sub-space with prime dimension the cascade stops since there is no further decomposition to tensor product.

4. The density matrix for subsystem in general case decomposes to a sum of projectors t subspaces and the state function reduction takes to one of them. The outcome of the measurement can be sub-space rather than ray.

   Number theoretic vision suggests also a second possibility. The SSFR would take place only if the eigenvalue of density matrix having probability interpretation associated with the subspace or ray is in the extension of rationals associated with the matrix elements of the density matrix and space-time surfaces considered (defining the cognitive representation). If one assumes frequency interpretation of probability theory, this probability must be rationals. Entanglement can be number theoretically stable. This would that one can have stable entanglement.

   It is natural to assume that BSFR can can increase the extension of rationals associated with the eigenvalues of density matrix in the extension of the extension associated with its matrix elements.

5. Stable entanglement could be crucial for quantum computation as also the possibility of large values of h_{eff} and of time reversal. One can also assign to entanglement with coefficients in an extension of rationals p-adic variant of entanglement entropy by replacing logarithms of probabilities with the logarithms of their p-adic norms. These p-adic entanglement negentropies can be positive so that the entanglement carries information. This negentropy is different from the real negative entropy due to the loss of precise knowledge about entangled states. Quite generally, the sum of p-adic negentropies can be larger than real entropy. This would explain the paradoxical looking fact that highly evolved biological systems are highly entropic [I14] [L3]. england

3.2 The relationship between adelic physics and ZEO based quantum theory

The challenge is to formulate quantum measurement theory taking into account the constraints from adelic physics [L15, L16]. One can consider the possibility is that the quantum physics could reduces at the level of cognitive representations to purely number theoretic physics. This would mean huge simplification. I have considered quantum theory at the level of cognitive representations from the point of view of number theory in [L33] and from the perspective of scattering amplitudes in [L32].

3.2.1 Two kinds of cognitive representations

One can consider two kinds of cognitive representations. The cognitive representations considered hitherto correspond to number theoretical discretization of space-time surface determined by an extension of rationals, they are "classical". The bosonic wave functions in Galois group of extension acting on cognitive representations and their fermionic counterparts based on fermionic dynamics in the group algebra of Galois group and its normal subgroups (Galois groups too) would define quantal cognitive representations.

1. There are cognitive representations both at the classical level in terms number theoretical discretizations of space-time surfaces defined by the extension of rationals and at the quantum level based on spinorial wave functions in Galois group of the representation. Also the spinorial wave functions in factor sub-groups and normal subgroups of Galois group are involved.

2. One can assign preferred primes p_{pref} to the classical space-time dynamics as ramified primes p_{ram} of the extension. For these the polynomial defining extension has double root in $O(p) = 0$ approximation. This would be the realization of quantum criticality for cognition: criticality is typically in potential models a situation in which two or more extrema of the potential function co-incide - catastrophe theory of Thom is classical example.

3. At the level of state (spinorial) space wave functions in Galois group acting on cognitive representations are natural candidate for a bosonic state space. Quantum states would be wave functions in Galois group G with normal subgroup H acting as a Galois group of lower-D extension.

   G/H is group itself and one can express wave functions in G as superpositions of products wave functions in G/H and H. The wave functions in G/H and H define naturally a tensor product and an attractive idea is that state function reduction can be regarded as measurement in G/H or equivalently in H. When H has prime order further reduction is not possible since Hilbert spaces with prime dimension are primes of tensor product.

   A natural candidate for preferred primes p_{pref} is as orders of smallest possible normal sub-groups of Galois group, kind of primitive generating Galois groups.

   Remark: One must consider also the possibility that quark and possibly also leptonic degrees of freedom are present as additional spinor indices. The fact that M^8 has octonionic structure could require also M^8 spinor structure.

4. In TGD dark matter is identified as $h_{eff} = n \times h_0$ phases of ordinary manner. n is identified as the order of Galois group of Galois extensions and thus of the extension itself. For ordinary value of Planck constant empirical inputs suggests the identification $h = 6h_0$ [L6, L19].

   Quite interestingly, one has $6 = 2 \times 3$ so that there is factorization to 2-D and 3-D subspaces assignable to massless particles, and massive gauge bosons. This indeed suggests that number theoretical vision could allows to represent all many-particle states in terms of wave functions (spinor fields) in the group algebra of Galois group.

5. How to construct cognitive representations for fermions? A natural generalization of the bosonic dynamics in n-D group algebra of Galois group is introduction of spinor structure in terms of 2^k-dimensional spinors in the group algebra. For $k = n$ both chiralities are present and for $k = n-1$ only second chirality. In fact, one could pose even more chirality conditions giving $2^{n/2}$-D ($[n+1]/2$-D) spinors for even (odd) n. Indeed, the recent view about SUSY in TGD framework suggests that only quarks - second imbedding space chirality - appear as fundamental fermions and that leptons are local composites of 3 quarks - spartners of quarks in well-defined sense [L36] (`http://tinyurl.com/y4pdb2xz`).

   The simplest option is that at the level of cognitive representations the fermionic oscillator operator algebra corresponds to the oscillator operator algebra creating fermions states having at most $k = n$, $k = n-1$,...$n/2$ ($[n+1]/2$) fermions assignable to these spinors in finite measurement resolution. Entire quantum dynamics at the level of cognitive representations would reduce to the dynamics of fermions in the group algebra of Galois group and its Galois sub-groups.

6. There is also question about the Galois groups of the extensions of various p-adic number fields Q_p induced by the extension of rationals with dimension n. For p-adic numbers in approximation the extension reduces to a finite field $G(p,k)$, $k \leq n$, and one has k-dimensional extension. Galois group G_p is smaller than the Galois group G for rationals. G_p would act naturally in the p-adic counterparts of cognitive representations and the representations of G would reduce to direct sums of representations of G_p. Note that the distinction between sensory and cognitive (real and p-adic) would emerge only at the quantum level.

   For $p < n+1$ the fact that one has $x^{p-1} = 1$ for $G(p)$ implies that the irreducible polynomial P defining the extension Q reduces to a polynomial with degree $nmodp-1 \leq p-1$. Information is lost for $p < n+1$. For $p \geq n+1$ situation is different but also in this case the reduction occurs for ramified primes since polynomial P as in this case multiple roots. This would be the counterpart of quantum criticality at the level of cognitive representations.

7. Could the primes appearing as factors of n be preferred p-adic primes? Since these primes as p-adic primes mean a loss of information, they are distinguished but hardly preferred in p-adic evolution. Ramified primes larger than n are more plausible candidates and can be assigned even with polynomials of order 2. The preferred p-adic primes assignable to elementary particles are indeed large: electron would correspond to $M_{127} = 2^{127} - 1 \sim 10^{38}$ [K1].

3.2.2 Quantum measurement theory for cognitive representations

What can one say about quantum measurement theory for cognitive representations? The basic questions concern the tensor products. How many tensor factorizations there are and can one pose some conditions on them? Assume that fermionic Fock states for second quantized spinor fields in n-D group algebra are enough for quantum physics at the level of cognitive representations.

1. Tensor product decomposition for n-D group algebra corresponds to the factorization $n = k \times l$. All factorizations of n define a possible quantum measurement situation and state function reduction can take place in bosonic sector to k or equivalently l-dimensional space. These factorizations would be highly unique since they correspond to pairs of Galois group G and its Galois subgroup H. They are defined modulo discrete automorphism of G. It is not clear whether the choice of this automorphism has physical content: one might consider a discrete variant of gauge invariance.

   For the fermionic oscillator algebra analogous statement holds true. Now the decompositions are induced by $n = k \times l$ decompositions.

2. State function reduction cascades would correspond to sequences of Galois subgroups $G \supset G_1 \supset ....G_k$ such that G_k corresponds to either trivial group of group with prime order. In this case the final state would be reached by a factorization in which the density matrix for G_k does not allow eigenvalues in the extension considered. This extension could be G, G_1 or perhaps rationals (frequency interpretation for probabilities).

3.2.3 $M^8 - H$ duality and measurement cascade

$M^8 - H$ duality [L29] suggests much more concrete picture about the measurement cascade.

1. $M^8 - H$ duality predicts that the roots r_n of a rational polynomial defining the space-time surfaces at the level of M^8 correspond "very special moments in the life of self" $t = r_n$ for the M^4 linear time in the rest system of CD, and that once these moments have been experienced, BSFR can take place. This is possible but not the only possible interpretation.

2. $M^8 - H$ duality and the view about evolution as analog of genetic evolution in which genes are conserved suggests that the polynomials can be regarded as functional composites of simple polynomials $P = P_{n_1} \circ P_{n_2} \circ ...P_{n_k}$ satisfying $P_{n_r} = 0$ (n_i refers to the degree of the polynomial). P possesses the roots of P_i and the corresponding Galois groups as normal subgroups as the counterpart for the conservation of genes in evolution.

   One can distinguish also primitive polynomials as those defining extensions which do not decompose further. Galois groups with prime number of elements corresponds to such extensions. Note that the same extension can appear at several levels in hierarchy and would

correspond to a realization of extension at different hierarchy level defining a kind of abstraction level.

3. Intuitively the measurement cascade should correspond to a cascade proceeding to shorter time and length scales by increasing the resolution and also to a process in which abstraction is gradually concretized.

   Could the measurement cascade for a state localized to a given extension of rationals start with the measurement of the root set $X_1 = \{r_{1,1}\}$ of P_{n_1} corresponding to the lowest time resolution. After than P_2 and the root set $X_2 = \{r_{2,i}\}$ would be measured meaning a refined of time resolution replacing $r_{1,i}$ with as subset of X_2 around it.

   Here one must be however very cautious: one could also consider a hierarchy of CDs with decreasing size scales as the counterpart of the measurement cascade. I do not understand well enough the scale hierarchy to answer the question whether these two views might relate.

3.2.4 Measurement of time number theoretically

Could the measurement of clock time T as (average) distance between the tips of CD [L35] be understood as number theoretical measurement?

1. What about the measurement of time as the distance T between tips of CD or more generally as the center of mass value T_0 of T in the case that one has Gaussian wave packets localized around varying T_0? How could one realize the measurement apparatus - the clock - in terms of entanglement?

   Suppose that the superposition over CDs with different values of T corresponds at the level of space-time surfaces in M^8 to that for space-time surfaces determined by polynomials P_n with varying degrees and rational coefficients. The measurement fixing the extension and Galois group would not fix P_n since there is a large number of polynomials with rational coefficients but same Galois group. The measurement fixing the extension leads to a partial (at least) localization in T or T_0 but this is not expected to be enough.

2. A stronger localization in the state function reduction measuring n would require that T or T_0 correlates with the degree n. How could this be achieved in a natural manner? Intuitively the requirement of some fixed time resolution based on the preferred moments $t = r_n$ interpreted as clock readings has fixed resolution as the average time lapse $\Delta T = \langle \Delta T_{i,i+1} = r_{i+1} - r_i \rangle$ would require $n \propto T$ or $n \propto T_0$. How could this be achieved concretely? Could one specify the zero energy states by giving the time resolution as ΔT and being equivalent to energy resolution. This would also dictate the resolution of the cognitive representation as the set of space-time points in the extension.

3.3 The dynamics of SSFRs as quantum measurement cascades in the group algebra of Galois group

Adelic physics [L14, L15] is a proposal for the physics of both sensory experience having real physics as correlate and cognition having various p-adic physics as correlates. Adele is a book-like structure formed by real numbers and the extensions of p-adic number fields induced by a given extension of rationals with the pages of the book glued together along its back consisting of numbers belonging to the extension of rationals. This picture generalizes to space-time level. Adelic physics relies on the notion of cognitive representation as unique number theoretic discretization of the space-time surface. This discretization has also fermionic analog in terms of spinor structure associated with the group algebra of the Galois group of extension.

Adelic physics, $M^8 - H$ duality, and zero energy ontology lead (ZEO) to a proposal that the dynamics involved with "small" state function reductions (SSFRs) as counterparts of weak measurements could be basically number theoretical dynamics with SSFRs identified as reduction cascades leading to completely un-entangled state in the space of wave functions in Galois group of extension of rationals identifiable as wave functions in the space of cognitive representations. As a side product a prime factorization of the order of Galois group is obtained.

The result looks even more fascinating if the cognitive dynamics is a representation for the dynamics in real degrees of freedom in finite resolution characterized by the extension of rationals. If cognitive representations represent reality approximately, this indeed looks very natural and would provide an analog for adele formula expressing the norm of a rational as the inverse of the product of is p-adic norms.

3.3.1 Adelic physics very briefly

Number theoretic vision leading to adelic physics [L14] provides a general formulation of TGD complementary to the vision [K4] (`http://tinyurl.com/sh42dc2`) about physics as geometry of "world of classical words" (WCW).

1. p-Adic number fields and p-adic space-time sheets serve as correlates of cognition. Adele is a Cartesian product of reals and extensions of all p-adic number fields induced by given extension of rationals. Adeles are thus labelled by extensions of rationals, and one has an evolutionary hierarchy labelled by these extensions. The large the extension, the more complex the extension which can be regarded as $n-D$ space in K sense, that is with K-valued coordinates.

2. Evolution is assigned with the increase of algebraic complexity occurring in statistical sense in BSFRs, and possibly also during the time evolution by unitary evolutions and SSFRs following them. Indeed, in [L42] (`http://tinyurl.com/quofttl`) I considered the possibility that the time evolution of self in this manner could be induced by an iteration of polynomials - at least in approximate sense. Iteration is a universal manner to produce fractals as Julia sets and this would lead to the emergence of Mandelbrot and Julia fractals and their 4-D generalizations. In the sequel will represent and argument that the evolution as iterations could hold true in exact sense.

   Cognitive representations are identified as intersection of reality and various p-adicities (cognition). At space-time level they consist of points of imbedding space $H = M^4 \times CP_2$ or M^8 ($M^8 - H$ duality [L11, L12, L13] allows to consider both as imbedding space) having preferred coordinates - M^8 indeed has almost unique linear M^8 coordinates for a given octonion structure.

3. Given extension of given number field K (rationals or extension of rationals) is characterized by its Galois group leaving K - say rationals - invariant and mapping products to products and sums to sums. Given extension E of rationals decomposes to extension E_N of extension E_{N-1} of ... of extension E_1 - denote it by $E \equiv H_N = E_N \circ E_{N-1} ... \circ E_1$. It is represented at the level of classical space-time dynamics in M^8 (`http://tinyurl.com/quofttl`) by a polynomial P which is functional composite $P = P_N \circ P_{N-1} \circ ... \circ P_1$. with $P_i(0) = 0$. The Galois group of $G(E)$ has the Galois group $H_{N-1} = G(E_{N-1} \circ ... \circ E_1)$ as a normal subgroup so that $G(E)/H_{N-1}$ is group.

   The elements of $G(E)$ allow a decomposition to a product $g = h_{N-1} \times h_{N-1} \times ...$ and the order of $G(E)$ is given as the product of orders of H_k: $n = n_0 \times .. \times n_{N-1}$. This factorization of prime importance also from quantum point of view. Galois groups with prime order do not allow this decomposition and the maximal decomposition and are actually cyclic groups Z_p of prime order so that primes appear also in this manner.

   Second manner for primes to appear is as ramified primes p_{ram} of extension for which the p-adic dynamics is critical in a well-defined sense since the irreducible polynomial with rational coefficients defining the extension becomes reducible (decomposes into a product) in order $O(p) = 0$. The p-adic primes assigned to elementary particles in p-adic calculation have been identified as ramified primes but also the primes labelling prime extensions possess properties making them candidates for p-adic primes.

   Iterations correspond to the sequence $H_k = G_0^{\circ k}$ of powers of generating Galois groups for the extension of K serving as a starting point. The order of H_k is the power n_0^k of integer $n_0 = \prod p_{0i}^{k_i}$. Now new primes emerges in the decomposition of n_0. Evolution by iteration is analogous to a unitary evolution as ex^{iHt} power of Hamiltonian, where t parameter takes the role of k .

4. The complexity of extension is characterized by the orders n and the orders n_k as also the number N of the factors. In the case of iterations of extension the limit of large N gives fractal.

5. Galois group acts in the space of cognitive representations and for Galois extensions for which Galois group has same order as extensions, it is natural do consider quantum states as wave functions in $G(E)$ forming n-D group algebra. One can assign to the group algebra also spinor structure giving rise to $D = 2^{M/2}$ fermionic states where one has $N = 2M$ or $N = 2M + 1$). One can also consider chirality constraints reducing D by a power of 2. An attractive idea is that this spinor structure represents many-fermion states consisting of $M/2$ fermion modes and providing representation of the fermionic Fock space in finite measurement resolution.

3.3.2 Number theoretical state function reductions as symmetry breaking cascades and prime factorizations

The proposed picture has very important quantal implications and allows to interpret number theoretic quantum measurement as a number theoretic analog for symmetric breaking cascade and also as a factorization of an integer into primes.

1. The wave functions in $G(E)$ - elements of group algebra of $G(E)$ can be decomposed to tensor products of wave functions in $G(E)/H_{N-1}$ and H_{N-1}: these wave functions in general represent entangled states. One can decompose the wave functions in H_{N-1} in similar manner and the process can be continued so that one obtains a maximal decomposition allowing no further decomposition for any factor. These non-decomposable Galois groups have prime order since its group algebra as Hilbert space of prime dimension has no decomposition into tensor product.

2. In state function reduction of wave function $G(E)$ the density matrices associated with pairs $G(E)/H_{N-1}$ and H_{N-1} are measured. The outcome is an eigenstate or eigen-space and gives rise to symmetry breaking from $G(E) \equiv H_N$ to $E_N \times H_{N-1}$. The sequence of state function reductions should lead to a maximal symmetry breaking corresponding to a wave function as a produce of those associaetd with Galois groups of prime order. This define a prime factorization of the dimension n of Galois group/extension to $n = \prod_{i=1}^{N} p_i^k$! The moments of consciousness for self would correspond to prime factorizations! Self would be number theoretician quite universally!

Also also the fermionic cognitive representation based on finite-D Fock states defined by spinor components of $G(E)$ is involved. The interpretation of Fock state basis as a a basis of Boolean algebra in TGD: the spinor structure of WCW could be representation for Boolean logic as a "square root" of Kähler geometry of WCW. Cognition indeed involves also Boolean logic.

3.3.3 SSFR as number theoretic state function reduction cascade and factorization of integer

A highly interesting unanswered question is following. "Small" state function reductions (SSFRs) define the life cycle of self as their sequence. What are the degrees of freedom where SSFRs occur?

1. SSFRs take place at the active boundary of CD which shifts in statistical sense towards future in the sequence of state function reductions. State at the passive boundary is not changed.

2. The idea that quantum randomness could correspond to classical chaos (or complexity) associated with the iteration of polynomials (Mandelbrot and Julia fractals) [L42] led to reconsider the hypothesis that the polynomial representing space-time decomposes to a product $P = P_2(T - r) \times P_1(r)$. T corresponds to the distance between the tips of CD and $r = t$ to the radial coordinate of M^4 assignable to the passive boundary of CD and equal to time coordinate t. $P_i(0) = 0$ is assumed to hold true.

   P_2 would change in SSFRs whereas P_1 and state at passive boundary would not. SSFRs (analogous to so called weak measurements) at active boundary would give rise to sensory

input and various associations - Maya in Eastern terminology. P_1 would correspond to the unchanging part of self - "soul" or real self as one might say.

I was also led to consider a simplified hypothesis that P_2 is obtained as iteration $P_2 = Q_1^{\circ n}$ in n:th n unitary evolution preceding SSFR. One would start from some iterate $Q_1^{\circ k}$. This would reduce quantum dynamics to iteration of polynomials and to a deep connection with Mandelbrot and Julia fractals but it was quite clear why this would be true.

3. The mere factorization $P = P_2 \times P_1$ implies that the Galois groups associated with active and passive boundary of CD commute and number theoretic state function reduction cascade for the wave functions in $G(E)$ for the extension determined by P_2 at active boundary could correspond to SSFR. Or course, also other commuting degrees of freedom are possible but number theoretic degrees of freedom could be the most important degrees of freedom involved with SSFRs.

3.3.4 The quantum dynamics of dark genes as factorization of primes

Gene level provides a fascinating application of this picture.

This posting was inspired by discussion with Bruno Marchal about his with title "Do the laws of physics apply to the mind?" (AHREF="https://tinyurl.com/ycls2bpt">article</A>). Bruno Marchal is a representative of computationalism, which might be called idealistic and Bruno believes that physics follows from computationalism. The somewhat mystical notion of self-reference is believed to lead to consciousness . I do not share this view. The gist of the posting comes towards end where I describe how computationalism generalizes to quantum computationalism in TGD generalizing also the notion of quantum computation. What conscious problem solving is? This is the question to be discussed.

1. As found, dark photons and dark protons forming DNA codons as triplets could correspond to triplet representations for prime factor Z_3 of Galois group of Z_6. Codon and conjugate codon could in turn correspond to the prime factor Z_2 of Galois group Z_6 so that double strand would correspond to Z_6 suggested by findings of Mills [L6] and TGD inspired model color vision [L19].

2. DNA codons could correspond to extension with Galois group Z_3, and one can consider an entire hierarchy of extensions of extensions of .. .extensions with dimensions n_i satisfying thus $n = \prod_{i=1}^{N} n_i$ and having Z_6 as subgroup at the lowest level of the hierarchy. The number N of factors would be the number of polynomials in the functional composition and thus define a kind of abstraction levels (abstractions are thoughts about thoughts about..., maps of maps of ...). N is expected to increase in evolution.

3. Could this abstraction hierarchy be realized at gene level? Genes decompose into transcribed regions - exons - and introns. Could different decomposition of genes to exons and introns correspond to different values of N and n_i and to different Galois groups. Could genes themselves form larger composites?

   Could genomes form even large structures such as chromosomes with larger Galois groups. Years ago I considered the possibility of a collective gene expression based on the collective MB of organelle, organ, or even population: could this correspond to an extension associated with several genomes?

4. Could SSFR correspond to a sequence of symmetry breakings for the Galois groups of these structures decomposing them to sub-groups? Number theoretic interpretation would in terms of decompositions of integers to primes! Genome would be a quantum computer performing number theory!

5. Metabolic energy feed would increasing h_{eff} would also increase the orders $n_i = h_{eff}/h_0$ of the extensions appearing in the composition of extensions and thus the orders of polynomial factors P_i in the functional composite defining the extensions. Therefore the decompositions would be dynamical.

   Metabolic energy feed requires BSFR changing the arrow of time if metabolic energy feed is actually feed of negative energy to environment. The emergence of a new prime factorization

would require BSFR. That the time evolution by iterations would not require BSFR would support the proposal that time evolution by BSFRs could be induced by iteration dynamics for the polynomial P_2 assignable to the active boundary of CD.

3.3.5 The relationship of TGD view about consciousness to computationalism

This text was inspired by discussion with Bruno Marchal about his with title "Do the laws of physics apply to the mind?" (AHREF="https://tinyurl.com/ycls2bpt">article</A>). Bruno Marchal is a representative of computationalism, which might be called idealistic and Bruno believes that physics follows from computationalism. The somewhat mystical notion of self-reference is believed to lead to consciousness.

I do not share this view. The gist of the posting comes towards end where I describe how computationalism generalizes to quantum computationalism in TGD generalizing also the notion of quantum computation. What conscious problem solving is? This is the question to be discussed.

To my view computationalism is one of the failed approaches to consciousness - it cannot cope with free will for instance. It however contains an essential aspect which is correct: the idea of deterministic program leading from A to B. Problem solving be can regarded as attempt to find this program. You fix A as initial data and try to find a program leading from A to a final state characterized by data B. The program has duration T and can be very long and it is not clear whether it exists at all. You try again and again and eventually you might find it. In the real conscious problem solving this process means making guesses so that the process cannot be deterministic.

What does this view about problem solving correspond to in ZEO? We have states A and B represented as quantum states and we try to find quantum analog of classical program leading from A to B in some time T which can be varied.

1. A and B are realized as superpositions of 3-surfaces and fermionic states at them - located at time values t=0 and t=T. T can vary. Can we find by varying T a (superposition of) deterministic time evolution(s) - preferred extremal(s) (PE) - connecting A and B?

   In ZEO and for fixed A and T PE in general does not exist. In ideal situation (infinite measurement resolution) and for given A and T, B is unique if it exists at all. One has analog of Bohr orbit and the quantum analog of classical program as the superposition of Bohr orbits starting from A and hopefully leading to B as a solution of the problem.

   Remark: These superpositions can be regarded as counterparts of functions in biology and behaviors in neuroscience. The big difference to standard physics is that time=constant snapshot in time evolution of say bio-system is replaced with quantum superposition of very special time evolutions - PEs. Darwinian selection of also behaviors in biology correlates strongly with this.

2. So: given A and B, we try to find a value of T for which superposition of PEs from A to B exists. This would be the quantum program leading from A to B, and solving our problem.

   Actually, not only ours, universe is full of conscious entities solving problems at various levels of self hierarchy. This takes place by a sequences of "small" SFRs (SSFRs, weak measurements) increasing T in statistical sense and replacing the state at B with a new one determined by state A for given value of T. At the level of conscious experience this is sensory perception and all that which is associated with it.

   Finding the solution is analogous to the halting of quantum Turing machine by ordinary state function reduction, which corresponds in ZEO to a "big" (ordinary) SFR (BSFR). This would mean death in universal sense and reincarnation with reversed arrow of time in ZEO? Or is BSFR and death failure to solve the problem? I cannot answer.

   Remark: The notion of self-reference is replaced with much more concrete notion of becoming conscious of what one was conscious of before SSFR. SSFR indeed gives rise to conscious eperience and one avoids the infinite regress associated with genuine self-reference. As an additional bonus one obtains evolution since the extension of rationals characterizing space-time surfaces can increase meaning higher level of consciousness. At the limit algebraic numbers the cognitive representation is dense subset of space-time surface.

3. Also finite measurement resolution and discreteness characterizing computation emerge from number theory.

   To be a solution classically means that the 3-surface(s) representing B to have fixed discrete cognitive representation given by finite number of imbedding space points in the extension of rationals defining the adele. Quantally, quantum superpositions of these points with fixed quantum numbers represent the desired final state.

   Also Boolean logic emerges at fundamental level as square root of Kähler geometry one might say. Many-fermion state basis defines a Boolean algebra and time evolution for induced spinors is analogous to truth preserving Boolean map in which truths code for infinite number of conservation laws associated with symmetries of WCW.

4. How to find the possibly existing solution at given step (unitary evolution plus SSFR) with t=T? One performs cognitive quantum measurements at each step represented by SSFR. They reduce to cascades of quantum measurements for the states in the group algebra of Galois group - call it Gal - of Galois extension considered.

   Gal has hierarchical decomposition to inclusion hierarchy of normal subgroups implying the representation of states in group algebra of Gal as entangled states in the tensor product of the group algebras of normal sub-groups of Gal. The hope is that this Galois cascade of SFRs produces desired state as an outcome and one can shout "Eureka!".

4 DNA and Time Reversal

This section is devoted to the view about DNA inspired by (zero energy ontology) ZEO [L45, L39] forming the basis of the quantum measurement theory of Topological Geometrodynamics (TGD) [K5, K3] and by the notion of dark DNA [L23] inspired by the TGD view about dark matter as phases of the ordinary matter with effective Planck constant $h_{eff} = nh_0 > h$ [L15, L4, L18] at (magnetic body) MB [L1, L24, L8, L46] - the third key notion distinguishing TGD from standard model.

4.1 Basic picture

The basic prediction of ZEO is that "big" (ordinary) state function reduction (BSFR) changes the arrow of time meaning "death" and "reincarnation" with opposite arrow of time. For dark matter at the MB the periods with a given arrow of time would be long and induce the long-lasting effective change of the arrow of time for the ordinary matter.

This leads to a new view about self-organization [L41] involving in an essential manner time reversed dissipation looking like energy feed in the standard direction and quantum coherent MB as a master quantum controlling the ordinary matter. The energy feed is necessary since the increase of h_{eff} requires energy.

4.1.1 Time reversal and the dynamics of DNA

The time reversals of the basic processes like transcription and replication turn out to be possible only for the conjugate strand - this is basically due to the chiral selection and CPT theorem in TGD context. CPT C denotes harge conjugation, P spatial reflection, and T geometric time reflection to be distinguished from thermo-dynamical time reversal and time reversal occurring in BSFR. The triviality of C (matter-antimatter asymmetry) implies that T acts like P mapping molecules to their mirror images. By chiral selection enzymes can catalyze processes but not their time reversals. For instance, conjugate strand polymerizes in reverse time direction - this looks like depolymerization in standard time direction. Polymerization of the conjugate strand however occurs in standard time direction but in reverse direction along strand.

The recombination of DNA strands during meiosis is poorly understood. This could correspond to reconnections for the magnetic flux tubes associated with the active DNA strands. Time reversal would occur in BSFR and formerly passive conjugate DNA strands would depolymerize to "loose" codons [L44] (not independent letters) by the time reversed polymerization, the flux tubes associated with the formerly active strands would suffer reconnections inducing recombination without

assistance of enzymes, second BSFR would occur, and be followed by the replication of recombined active strands.

4.1.2 Does DNA have longitudinal electric field with direction correlating with the arrow of time?

According to the findings of Becker [J2, J4], the direction of the electric along the body axis field determines whether the system is awake or asleep. By the properties of electric field under time reflection, the arrow of time correlates also with the direction of the electric field. TGD predicts that consciousness is possible even at the level of DNA. Could also DNA have a longitudinal electric field with direction correlating with the arrow of time of DNA at the MB of DNA? Could there be a switch changing the direction of this electric field?

There is an inspiring analogy with microtubules, which are highly dynamical and carry a longitudinal electric field, whose strength correlates with the microtubule length [I15, I16]. Could sticky ends generate a longitudinal field along DNA double strand with strength determined by the lengths of the sticky ends?

In the standard picture the flux of the longitudinal electric field would be proportional to the difference of the negative charges associated with the sticky ends. In TGD framework DNA strands are accompanied by the dark analog of DNA with codons realized as 3-proton units neutralizing the negative charge of the ordinary DNA except at sticky ends.

A simple proposal for the time switch based on the analog of Becker's DC currents emerges: proton flow of the dark protons between sticky ends would change the arrow of time. The model could generalize also to proteins known to be ferro-electrets and accompanied also by their dark analogs.

4.2 DNA and time reversal

TGD inspired theory of consciousness based on ZEO [L45] predicts that also DNA is a conscious system: actually TGD Universe is in a well-defined sense panpsychic. In a "big" (ordinary) state function (BSFR) system "dies" and "reincarnates" with a reversed arrow of time. The hierarchy of effective Planck constants $h_{eff} = nh_0$ [L4] having a number theoretical interpretation [L15] labels the phases of the ordinary matter behaving like dark matter and will be referred to as "dark matter" in the sequel. Large values of h_{eff} make quantum coherence possible in arbitrarily long length and time scales.

The dark matter at the layers of the MB of the system (MB means a deviation from Maxwell's electrodynamics) controls the ordinary bio-matter. Dark matter resides at the flux tubes carrying monopole flux not possible in the Maxwellian world. The TGD based model [L2] identiies the negatively charged exclusion zones (EZs) generated in Pollack effect [I2, **?**] as regions from which part of protons transferred to flux tubes as dark protons. Applied to the water environment of DNA this leads to the notion of dark DNA as flux tubes carrying dark proton triplets representing genetic codons [L23]. Also mRNA, aminoacids, and tRNA would have these representations. Dark DNA strands would accompany the ordinary DNA strands. The positive charge of the dark DNA and mRNA would screen the negative charge of ordinary DNA and stabilize it.

The attention is in the recent article in the dynamical processes associated with DNA. Could time reversal play a key role in various processes related to DNA. The basic process considered are DNA transcription and replication and meiosis and it is interesting to view them in ZEO. Could one imagine a switch inducing time reversal of DNA as a "big" (ordinary) state function (BSFR) in the scale of entire DNA double strand + dark DNA double strand accompanying it?

4.2.1 Deassembly as a time reversal of assembly and time reversal switch for DNA?

In ZEO one must seriously consider the possibility of reverse translation, reverse transcription and reverse polymerization. The recombination of DNA strands, which is the least well-understood part of meiosis, might involve time reversal of the polymerization of the passive strand and also DNA repair might involve time reversal. Time reversal might allow the healing of genetic defects.

Time reversed processes might occur at least in DNA scale but it is an open question whether they occur in long time scales. As already found, matter-antimatter asymmetry and chiral selection

pose strong constraints on the allowed time reversals: they can occur only for the conjugate DNA strand as catalyzed processes. The time reversal of translation is not possible but time reversal of transcription using the conjugate strand is.

1. Few natural scientists like the branch of philosophy called deconstructionism (in particular, "anything goes" irritates any TOE builder) but it would seem that deconstruction is an excellent characterization of assembly and de-assembly as time reversals of each other.

   Deconstruction would not be actually a new idea. Sustainable development means that nowadays wastes are treated systematically. Various mechanical and electric devices are de-constructed into their basic building bricks to be used again.

   Why not the same in biology? For instance, could protens be deconstructed to tRNA and mRNA, which in turn would be deconstructed to mRNA codon? It turns out that chiral selection prevents time reverse translation.

2. Deconstruction at the level of DNA would naturally involve time reversed DNA + dark DNA and very naturally the passive strand related by a conjugation to active strand would be now active. Deconstruction would be a construction in a reversed time direction. Could this give a reason why for the presence of the passive DNA strand?

   One must clarify how the strands are related? What does time reversal do to the strands?

   (a) Since charge conjugation replacing protons with antiprotons does not occur, C must act trivially. $CPT = 1$ which is identity in quantum field theories but in TGD states that the states at the boundaries of CD are permuted - the corresponding fermionic vacua are analogous to Dirac sea and its conjugate. This impllies that PT acts trivially and T acts as a reflection P changing the chiralities and direction of the strands.

   (b) Time reversal would transform left-handed strand to right-handed vice versa and the 3' and 5' ends would be permuted. The effect would be a permutation of the strands geometrically. DNA strands would become their mirror images geometrically and for the $3' \to 5'$ orientation the order codons would be the same.

   (c) The strands of DNA have opposite chiralities. Chiral selection can explain why only the second DNA strand is active: there are no ezymes catalyzing it transcription. In the time reversal the passive strand would become active and the time reversed DNA transcription would begin from 3' end so that the resulting mRNA would conjugate of the mRNA associated with the active strand. For standard time direction the process would look like conjugate mRNA sequence approaching the usually passive strand and decaying to the "loose" mRNA codons [L44] (nucleotides in standard picture).

   (d) If the processes proceed from $3' \to 5$ direction determined by chemistry, the time reversed transcription would produce the same mRNA. In standard time direction mRNA consistent with conjugate DNA strand would attach to conjugate DNA strand and split to RNA codons (in TGD and to RNA nucleotides in standard picture).

3. How could one achieve the deconstruction of say mRNA as a time reversal at the level of DNA? Could there exist a simple time reversal switch in DNA reversing the electric field of DNA+dark DNA? Could there be an enzyme changing the position of this switch?

   What could be this switch? In next secton it will be proposed that switch would just move the part of the dark proton sequence associated with sticky end nucleotides to the opposite end of the DNA strand! There would be a proton current flowing along the ordinary DNA strand.

   These switching currents could be the counterparts for the direct currents of Becker [J2, J4] and would change the direction of DNA's electric field! This mechanism would change the arrow of time and direction of the electric also at the level of the entire body as it falls in sleep or wakes up! Same applies to the electric field from the frontal lobes to hindbrain.

4.2.2 DNA transcription and replication and their time reversals

Could the time reversals of DNA replication and transcription occur? Is the depolymerization of the DNA strand equivalent to the time reversal or polymerization or are these separate processes? Does the time reversal of the replication make sense?

The basic constraint comes from the discrete symmetries. By matter-antimatter asymmetry charge conjugation is trivial - otherwise also antiprotons would define representation of the dark code. Since the generalization of quantum field theoretic identity $CPT = 1$ holds true one must have that a generalization of $PT = 1$ holds true. Time reversal would change the chirality of DNA strands.

Chirality selection for enzymes in turn poses a second powerful constraint meaning that time reversed processes can occur for the passive conjugate DNA strand only (having opposite chirality as compared to active DNA strand). The implication is that enzyme, which have a fixed chirality, can catalyze in standard time direction only processes for the active DNA strand but not for the passive strand. Enzymes can however catalyze time reversed processes for the conjugate strand. In particular, the degradation of active DNA strand cannot be equivalent with time reversal of polymerization since the latter cannot be catalyzed by enzymes.

Consider first the discrete symmetries in more detail.

1. The key constraints emerge from the ZEO based generalization of the $CPT = 1$ identity of quantum field theories generalized to ZEO. Here C is charge conjugation, P is reflection and T time reflection. In ZEO "1" is replaced by permutation of states at the opposite boundaries of CD defining the zero energy state and the replacement of Dirac vacuum with its conjugate. Call this permutation operation P_{ZEO} so that one has $CPT = P_{ZEO}$.

2. Since antiprotons are not involved in biology by matter-antimatter asymmetry, $C = 1$ is true and one obtains $PT = P_{ZEO}$. Therefore T must act as reflection and map DNA strand to its mirror image. Chirality is changed and the order of codons becomes opposite and 3' and 5' ends are permuted. The DNA strand looks like the original one as far as codons are considered but is its geometric mirror image so it is not expected to be active - unless P permutes 3' and 5'. From Wikipedia [I1] one learns that this is not the case. Hence the conjugate strand would become active in the time reversal.

   In particular, the time reversed catalyzed processes can use only the conjugate strand as a template since only in this case the enzymes satisfy the chirality constraint. In particular, this applies to polymerization and depolymerization, which are not time reversed process as was the first guess. Furthermore, the polymerization for conjugate trand is depolymerization in reversed time direction.

   Matter-antimatter asymmetry and chiral selection therefore imply that catalyzed processes for the active DNA strands are in the standard time direction and for the passive DNA strands in the opposite time direction.

Some examples help to understand what would be involved.

1. Consider first the time reversal of the transcription. If the time reversal occurs it must attach mRNA strand to the time reversed conjugate strand and the time reversed transcription would mean splitting of mRNA to "loose" codons [L44]: this process can be catalyzed by enzymes with standard chirality. If the conjugate of the gene coding for mRNA does not exist as a gene, this process is not possible. Therefore mRNA must allow also the ordinary depolymerization catalyzed by enzymes. Same is expected to apply to the depolymerization of DNA and proteins. Loose codons would be analogous to tRNAs.

   This raises a question about how symmetric the spectrum of genes is. How often does the conjugate of gene exist? If there is strong symmetry breaking the reverse transcription rarely occurs.

2. An interesting challenge is to understand the details of DNA replication and its possible time reversal. What constraints does the chiral selection for enzymes pose? The replication of both strands is catalyzed by the same enzyme: DNA polymerase and the processes occur simultaneously. Since enzymes have single chirality only, this leaves only one possibility:

the replication of the conjugate strand involves time reversal and is depolymerization in the reversed arrow of time.

Indeed, the replication of the conjugate strand occurs in a direction opposite to the ordinary ($3' \to 5'$). The replication of the conjugates strand would be the decay to codons but in reversed time direction. Note that the splitting of the DNA double strand to separate strands (unentangled quantum systems) is necessary to change the arrow of time only for the conjugate strand.

4.2.3 Meiosis and time reversal

Meiosis is an especially interesting application since the reshuffling of DNA strands in meiosis is not well-understood in biology-as-nothing-but-chemistry approach. The crucial step is the shuffling of the corresponding pieces of homologous DNA strands. Could the reshuffling involve de-assembly regarded as a time reversal of the assembly followed by re-assembly meaning a return to the original arrow of time: this would be completely analogous to what mechanic does when repairing a machine. Also the DNA repair could rely on this mechanism.

1. The first observation made already earlier is that the formation of several reconnections between - say - active DNA strand involving touching at several points with subsequent reconnection at the level of magnetic flux tubes would give an elegant description for the reconnection at the level of say active strands. Here magnetic flux tubes would demonstrate their explanatory power.

   The problem is that if this occurs for pairs of both active and passive strands, there is no guarantee that the reconnection patterns determining the re-shuffling are consistent. How can one guarantee this?

2. Here time reversal of polymerization for the passive DNA strand comes in rescue. Two BSFRs changing the arrow of time would take place.

   (a) The arrow of time changes for both strands of DNA. At the de-assebly step the passive strand decays to codons. This is just time reversal for polymerization and by the chirality selection for enzymes only the passive strand can de-assemble in this manner. This happens for the conjugate strands of both double DNA strands involved.

   (b) At the shuffling step the two formerly active time reversed DNA strands pair with each other and the repeated reconnections about as a sequence of SSFRs inducing shuffling of the pieces of DNA. This process cannot be catalyzed by enzymes since the required chirality would be wrong. Since the outcome is non-deterministic the situation must be quantum critical in the sense that the classical time evolutions defining the zero energy state are initial value sensitive and state function reduction selects superposition of evolutions corresponding to the same outcome.

   (c) At the re-assembly step the arrow of time changes back to the original for the resulting shuffled active DNA strands replicate.

Whether the translation of mRNA to proteins could have a time reversal was asked in the earlier article [L43]? This does not seem to be possible. Due to the chiral selection proteins do not have double strand structure with strands possessing opposite chiralities. Also mRNA has only one chirality. Therefore the time reversal of translation proceeding from mirror proteins and mirror tRNA to mirror mRNA is not possible.

4.3 Could the sticky ends make DNA double strand a conscious ferro-electret?

The basic motivation for this section could be Becker's finding [J2, J4]; its direction determines whether the system is awake or asleep. In ZEO [L45] these states could correspond to opposite arrows of time at some level of the fractal hierarchy of the layers of MB labelled by the values of h_{eff}. The arrow of time would change in BSFR. The sign of the longitudinal electric field correlates with the arrow of time on basic of the basic properties of electromagnetic field tensor so

that BSFR should change the direction of electric field: this suggests some kind of switch changing the arrow of time and in standard ontology turning consciousness on/off.

Could the same be true for DNA + dark DNA system as well? In the sequel the idea that sticky ends make the DNA double strand + its dark counterpart with $h_{eff} > h$ a ferro-electret carrying longitudinal electric field is considered. The longitudinal electric field is non-vanishing also in standard framework without dark DNA if the lengths at the ends of the DNA double strand are different. This field would be analogous to the electric field along the body axis.

This model is discussed also in a related article [**?**]. As far as contents are considered, the recent discussion is more or less identical except that the main emphasis is on consciousness.

4.3.1 Different ends of DNA double strand

There is a variety of different ends of DNA double strand and of telomere.

1. Blunt ends contain two paired bases so that they do not define a full codon.

$$5' - CTGATCTGACTGATGCGTATGCTAGT - 3'$$
$$3' - GACTAGACTGACTACGCATACGATCA - 5'$$

   Straight cut by exonuclease enzyme produce blunt ends.

2. Overhangs are short, mimally just one nucleotide A in 3' end: one could have for instance following configuration

$$5' - ATCTGACTA - 3'$$
$$3' - TAGACTGA - 5'$$

   Overhangs are most often palindromic.

3. An example of longer sticky end is following:

$$5' - ATCTGACT3' - TAGACTGACTACG$$

   The length of the unpaired portion of sticky end can be hundreds of nucleotides.

4. Frayed ends correspond to sequence of basic pairs breaking the A-T, C-G pairing rules.

$$5' - ATCTGACTAGGCA - 3'$$
$$3' - TAGACTGACTACG - 5'$$

4.3.2 Empirical evidence for the ferroelectret property of DNA

To the best of our knowledge, there is no reported evidence for longitudinal static electric fields in DNA in an extensive Web search. This might be simply because of inability to measure them in past. Indeed, a model for DNA nucleotides A,T,C,G as ferroelectrets based solely on standard chemistry is discussed [I22] and would imply that also DNA can be ferroelectret. This could in a special case give rise to a longitudinal electric field, and if there is an electric field in the absence of external electric field (spontaneous ferroelectricity), it could be also in the direction of DNA strand.

The reported existence of electric currents along DNA perhaps analogous to Becker's DC currents is one indirect evidence for the longitudinal electric field. A very interesting test would be so called DNA crystals [I13, I3] (see also the popular artcle at `https://cutt.ly/Hd3fvMW`)) in electric field, heated, or put under mechanical stress.

DNA is analogous like cell interior being negatively charged with one negative charge per nucleotide assignable to the phosphate. The stability of DNA against Coulomb force is however not well-understood and TGD would solve the problem with a pairing of DNA strand with a parallel helical flux tube carrying 3 dark protons per codon with dark proton triplet realizing genetic codon. Ordinary chemical codons would be a secondary representation of the code. Could this make possible ferroelectret property of DNA?

4.3.3 Could the sticky ends of the telomeres give rise to a longitudinal electric field along DNA?

In the standard picture about DNA different negative charges at the sticky ends could give a longitudinal electric field proportional to the difference of the charges. DNA double strand would however have a net charge now. Second possibility is that the nucleotides behave as dipoles even in the absence of the external electric field. If these dipoles are forced to be parallel to DNA by an external electric field they give rise to a longitudinal electric field.

TGD based view is that DNA is paired with dark analog of DNA. This view leads to the suggestion that sticky ends/overhangs give rise to positive or negative charges at the end of DNA and that opposites at the ends of DNA generate strong longitudinal electric field along DNA. For DNA with blunt ends there would be no electric field.

What would be needed for chromosome as dipole like entity is that the ends of the chromosome carrying the telomeres have charges of opposite sign: in the simplest case they would have the same magnitude so that one would have a dipole.

1. Could telomeres be analogous to microtubules?

Microtubules are highly dynamical having a varying length. They also have a longitudinal electric field [I15, I16]. Likewise, the the ends of chromosomes are dynamical and their length is changing and controlled by the telomerase enzyme [I21, I23]. Could telomeres or entire chromosomes be analogous to microtubules? Could chromosomes (`https://cutt.ly/Ud21bjd`) carry longitudinal electric fields? That would not be surprising since living matters are populated by ferroelectrets [I8].

Remark: The option that only telomeres could carry these fields would require that the joint between the coding portion of DNA and telomere is charged. This does not look natural.

Due to the properties of the electric field under time reversal, the direction of the bio-electric field would in TGD Universe correlate with the arrow of time [L45] changing in "big" (ordinary) state function reductions (BSFRs) meaning "death" or "falling asleep" and re-incarnation with an opposite arrow of time. In particular, sleep could correspond to conscious experience but with a different arrow of time at some level of the hierarchy of layers of MB) [L25] serving as master controlling the biological body (BB).

Remark: The hierarchy of Planck constants $h_{eff} = nh_0$ labelling phases of ordinary matter behaving like ark matter predicts [L15, L25] macroscopic quantum coherence explaining the coherence of biomatter. This allow BSFRs in arbitrarily long length and time scales, for instance, the scales of chromosomes.

The first guess motivated by the findings of Becker about bio-electric fields [J2, J4] is that when the telomere shortens, the electric field associated with DNA weakens, and eventually the organism dies [I4]. Telomere length is controlled by telomerase enzyme and for stem cells, germ cells and cancer cells the shortening does not occur [I10].

Telomeres are dynamical and could somehow provide DNA with a longitudinal electric field closely related to this dynamics. The strength of the electric field associated with the DNA double strand could correlate with the properties of telomeres and in particlar the lengths of their negatively charged sticky ends at the ends of the chromosome.

2. The TGD based model for DNA as ferroelectret

Although most of the telomere has a normal base-pairing, there is an additional unpaired nucleotide sequence - overhang - associated with either strand. In the minimal case it is just one nucleotide A. What could this mean in TGD framework: could it give the desired constant electric field along DNA strand. Is its strength proportional to the length of the overhang determined by the number of its nucleotides? There would be 1 negative charge per nucleotide.

1. Suppose that both strands are accompanied by dark DNA strands parallel to them and having opposite charge neutralizing the DNA in the scale of this pairing. Dark codon would be identified as a 3-proton unit. Dark RNA, tRNA and amino-acids are predicted. Vertebrate genetic code is predicted correctly in the sense that the number of DNA codons corresponding to given dark amino-acid is the same as for vertebrate genetic code [L23, L40].

2. What could be the counterpart of the sticky end for dark DNA sequence? Suppose that the

dark DNA strands be equally long so that there would be no symmetry breaking. This leaves two natural options for a given sticky end.

(a) Both dark DNA strands have portions associated with the sticky end. Since the sticky end/overhang would be neutralized, this would give for the end of the double strand a positive charge $Q = ne$, n the number of nucleotides in the sticky end.

(b) Both dark DNA strand portions are missing at the sticky end. Now the charge would be negative and equal to the charge $Q = -ne$ of the sticky end.

3. The magnitude of the electric field along DNA flux tube created by a single sticky end would be

$$E = \frac{Q}{S} = \frac{en}{S} \ ,$$

where S is the thickness of the system DNA + dark DNA. The fields of the sticky ends sum up and there would be a net electric field along DNA double strand +dark DNA given by

$$E = \frac{Q_1 - Q_2}{S} = \frac{e(n_1 - n_2)}{S} \ .$$

One can consider two options.

Option I: There is dark DNA present (TGD option) and the situation is a) at the first end of the chromosome and b) at the opposite end. One obtains opposite signs of charges $Q_1 = n_1 e$ and $Q_2 = -n_2 e$ and electric field is $E = (n_1 + n_2)e/S$.

Option II: There is no dark DNA (standard physics option). The charges at the sticky ends are negative and one has $E = e(n_1 - n_2)/S$.

4. The video about telomeres [I18] (`https://cutt.ly/Mfi0Cc1`) suggests that the sticky ends are associated with different DNA strands and are of the same length. For the standard physics option (no dark DNA) charges at the sticky ends have the same sign and one has $E = e(n_1 - n_2)/S$. The field vanishes for Option II and equals to $E = 2n/S$ for Option I.

This field would be quite strong. The electric fields at opposite ends of the chromosome sum up and cancel each other along DNA if the charges are of the same sign : there is however positive interaction energy causing a repulsive force. For the TGD option the Coulomb energy is negative. For the standard physics option it would be positive and would not favor the stability of DNA.

Quantitative estimates
In the sequel some simple quantitative estimates are performed.

1. Minimization of electrostatic energy taking into account only the nearest neighbor interactions

The system must minimize its electrostatic energy to be stable. Assume that the charges of the overhangs are opposite: $n_1 = -n_2 = n$. For the more general situation with $n_1 \neq n_2$. For the same sign for n_1 and n_2 there would be a repulsion between the ends of DNA.

1. In this case overhangs would give a negative contribution to the electrostatic energy of the system.

$$E_{ends} = -\frac{n^2 e^2 L}{S} \ ,$$

where L is the length of DNA double strand without overhangs and S is it transversal area. Otherwise the contribution is positive.

2. The negative electrostatic energies between dark strand and ordinary strand with opposite charges. There are two pairs of this kind. In the first approximation one has

$$E_{OD} = -2N\frac{e^2}{R_{OD}} \ .$$

N is the total number of nucleotides in DNA without overhangs and R_{OD} is the distance between dark and ordinary DNA strands. One has $N = (dn/dl)L$, where dn/dl is the number of codons per unit length. One has approximately $dn/dl = 10$ nucleotides per nanometer.

This gives

$$E_{OD} = -2\frac{(dn/dl)e^2L}{R_{OD}} \ .$$

The ratio of the two negative contributions tending to stabilize the system is

$$r = \frac{E_{OD}}{E_{ends}} = 2\frac{(dn/dl)S}{R_{OD}} \simeq \frac{20S}{nm \times R_{OD}} \ .$$

3. There are positive electrostatic interaction energies between dark strands with distance $R = R_{DD}$ and ordinary strands with distance $R = R_{OO}$. The energy is given by

$$E = \frac{Ne^2}{R} = \frac{(dn/dl)e^2L}{R} \ .$$

The total contribution to the electrostatic energy is positive and given by

$$E_{OO} + E_{DD} = (dn/dl)e^2L \times (\frac{1}{R_{OO}} + \frac{1}{R_{DD}}) \ .$$

The total electrostatic energy in this approximation is

$$E = e^2L[-\frac{n^2}{S} - 2(dn/dl)(\frac{1}{R_{OD}} - \frac{1}{R_{OO}} - \frac{1}{R_{DD}}) \ .$$

4. The generalized electrostatic force in the longitudinal direction is given by

$$F = -\frac{dE}{dL} = -e^2[-\frac{n^2}{S} - 2(dn/dl)(\frac{1}{R_{OD}} - \frac{1}{R_{OO}} - \frac{1}{R_{DD}}) \ .$$

For $n > n_{min}$ DNA tends to get longer and for $n < n_{min}$ it tends to get shorter.

5. In equilibrium this force must vanish. $F = 0$ condition fixes the number n of nucleotides in the sticky end:

$$n^2 = n_0^2 = (dn/dl) \times S[-\frac{2}{R_{OD}} + \frac{1}{R_{OO}} + \frac{1}{R_{DD}}] \ ,$$

This gives

$$n = n_{min} = \sqrt{(dn/dl)\frac{S}{R_{DD}}} \times \sqrt{-2\frac{R_{DD}}{R_{OD}} + \frac{R_{DD}}{R_{OO}} + 1} = \sqrt{\frac{10S}{R_{DD}nm}}\sqrt{-2\frac{R_{DD}}{R_{OD}} + \frac{R_{DD}}{R_{OO}} + 1} \ .$$

Note that the condition $n_{min} > 0$ requires that without the overhangs at the end the configuration would be unstable.

$$2\frac{R_{DD}}{R_{OD}} \geq \frac{R_{DD}}{R_{OO}} + 1 \ .$$

must hold true. Since the right-hand side is larger than unity one must have $2R_{DD} > R_{OO}$. As a special case one could have a maximally symmetric DODO type configuration with $R_{OO} = R_{DD} = R_{OD}$ for which the above inequality becomes equality and one has $n = 0$. $n = 1$ is realized rather generally and is maximally near to this situation

6. n would not depend on the length L of the chromosome in the approximation taking into account only the nearest neighbor interactions between various DNA codons. Taking them into account implies that the electrostatic energy is a nonlinear function of L and n_{min} is predicted to depend on L - probably the dependence is weak suggesting that the dependence of $L = L(coding) + L(telomere)$ - or actually the telomere length $L(telomere)$ - on n_{min} is strong so that it would be an ideal control variable.

7. The increase of the length n of the overhang creates a force increasing the length of DNA and its reduction does the opposite. One can say the situation is critical and that $n = n_{min}$ stabilizes the situation. The reduction of the length of overhang below critical value would have disastrous effects.

This model is certainly not the only one that one can imagine and involves drastic approximations since only the nearest neighbour Coulomb interactions has been taken into account. Also the sticky ends of the chromosome could have different lengths and thus charges so that the chromosome would have a net charge and the stable length for DNA would depend on this charge.

Also the distances between various DNA strands serve as parameters and the stable length depends on these parameters: these parameters could depend on chemical parameters like pH and thermo-dynamical parameters. The length of the sticky end is expected to vary also during the life span of the chromosome and also depend on how many DNA replications preceded the generation of the chromosome. The length of the sticky end has spectrum and implies a spectrum for the telomere length since the length $L(coding)$ of the coding part of the chromosome cannot be changed. In the linear approximation all lengths $L = L(coding) + L(telomere)$ are allowed and if the corrections are small, $L(telomere)$ is very sensitive to $L(stickyend)$.

The length of the sticky end rather than the length of the telomere would be the primary controller. The quite high strength of the longitudinal electric field is a surprise. An interesting prediction is that prokaryotes with circular DNA strands would have no wake-up-sleep cycle like eukaryotes. Viruses however have both circular and open strands.

2. Minimization of the electrostatic energy taking into account interaction between non-nearest neighbors

What kind of corrections the inclusion of the Coulomb interactions of charges which are not nearest neighbors couldhave?

1. Nearest neighbors have been identified as neighbors in transversal direction and it has been assumed that only DNA-DNA and DDNA-DDNA, and DNA-DDNA interactions matter. A better approximation takes into account also the repulsive nearest- neighbor interactions of phosphates and those of dark protons along dark DNA. The same story applies to DNA-DDNA interactions.

   All these terms give a contribution proportional to L and mean only a scaling of the parameter n_0, whose order of magnitude remains the same and by the presence of the longitudinal dipole electric field can be positive.

2. Consider the contribution of the interactions of given DNA codon and DDNA codon with the non-nearest neighbors along DNA and dark DNA. These interactions can be regarded as dipole and higher multipole interactions since the total charges of the codon pair DNA + DDNA vanish. In the lowest order approximation dipole-dipole interactions depending on the distance r between dipoles like $1/r^3$.

3. Simple dimensional arguments give the general form of the dipole contributions. By dimensional considerations alone, the sum over dipole interaction energies for a given codon or nucleotide gives a contribution proportional to $1/L^2$. Summing over these contributions gives a total contribution proportional to $1/L$.

   The dipole contribution is proportional to $(dn/dl)^2$, to the square of the dipole moments of a given nucleotide (codon). Since dipole moments are of the order eR, R the transversal scale of DNA+DDNA system, individual dipole-dipole interaction energy is proportional to e^2S

   Therefore the Coulomb interaction energy would be of the general form

$$E = \frac{e^2 L}{S}[-n^2 + n_0^2] + ke^2(dn/dl)^2\frac{S}{L})] \ .$$

where k is a numerical factor determined by the details of the model. Note that dark protons forming a dark variant of ordinary nucleus are expected to have also counterparts of strong interactions expected to be short ranged.

4. The minimization of energy would give

$$F = -\frac{dE}{DL} = \frac{e^2 L}{S}[-n^2 + n_0^2] + ke^2(dn/dl)^2\frac{S}{L})] = 0 \ .$$

This gives for $L(n)$

$$L(n) = \frac{dn}{dl} S\sqrt{\frac{k}{-n^2 + n_0^2}} \ .$$

The condition that the argument of square root in non-negative, implies that one must have either $(k > 0, n < n_0)$ or $(k < 0, n > n_0)$. $n < n_0$ option seems to be the physical one.

5. $n < n_0$ requires $k > 0$ so that the dipole interaction energy is positive. For $n \to 0$ L approaches to

$$L(0) = \frac{dn}{dl} S\sqrt{\frac{k}{n_0^2}} \ .$$

$L(0)$ could correspond to the length for the coding part of DNA (no telomere is allowed). At the limit $n \to \infty$ $L(n)$ approaches infinite value and the length of the telomere becomes extremely sensitive to the value of n and n becomes an ideal control variable.

For $n > n_0$ one must have $k < 0$ meaning that the contribution of the dipole-dipole interactions to the total energy is negative. The stable DNA length shortens roughly like $L \propto 1/n$ as n increases: this does not conform with the intuitive picture.

4.3.4 Relation to TGD inspired theory of consciousness

Two remarks from the point of view of TGD inspired theory of consciousness based on ZEO are in order.

1. The proposal motivated by the properties of electromagnetic field tensor under time reflectin T is that the direction of electric field flux should correlate with the arrow of time. One would expect that the change of the arrow of time requires the change of the direction of the electric field. Somehow the length of dark DNA should be reduced at the first end and increased at the opposite end.

   Could the dark protons be added to or removed from the flux tube defining dark DNA to achieve this. Pollack effect [I2, I17] is in TGD framework indeed explained in terms of the transfer of ordinary protons to dark protons (with $h_{eff} = nh_0 > h$) at the dark magnetic flux tubes [L2] and has become basic element of the TGD inspired quantum biology.

   The roles of DNA strands are expected to change in time reversal so that the active strand (the transcribed one) would become passive and *vice versa*. The gene expression would come however its time reversal: mRNA would be un-transcribed to mRNA codons by the formerly passive strand.

2. If one could change the roles of active and passive strands by changing the arrow of time - that is the direction of the longitudinal electric field of DNA - by changing the numbers of dark protons at the ends of DNA, one could have a dramatic demonstration for the key idea. An external electric field with direction opposite to that of DNA might allow achieving this. This would be like changing the direction of spontaneous magnetization by using an external magnetic field.

4.4 Tests for the TGD based model of DNA as ferroelectret

The standard physics view is that the possible ferroelectricity for DNA is due to the instantaneous polarization of codons A,T,C,G in external field which is proportional to electric field E if the polarization vanishes for $E = 0$. Ferroelectriy is analogous to spontaneous magnetism that there is electric field also for $E = 0$: this requires permanent electric dipole moments generated by small external field an left when the field is taken to zero.

In [I7] a model for the polarizability of nucleotides A,T,C, G is developed based on standard physics so that the external electric field would generate dipole moment for given nucleotide. What one hopes is model producing ferro-electric behavior. The model calculations give ferroelectric behavior and a square shaped hysteresis curve. In case of entire DNA each nucleotide would behave independently in inhomogenous electric field with varying direction.

Also in [I22] the dipole moments are estimated for both bases and nucleotides, and the esimated dipole moments are in the range of 2-6 Debyes ($D = .02$ enm) that is $.04 - .12$ enm . TGD estimate for the electric field is about ne/S, $S = \pi R^2$ the effective area of the flux tube assignable to DNA + dark DNA.

The first thing to notice is that the flux would be along entire DNA, not only the telomere and the overhangs portions carry the charges creating the electric field along DNA. Electric flux flows along DNA. Telomere would be a kind of buffer against the evil world. Overhang/sticky ends could play a key role in control of the arrow of time for DNA. Similar mechanism would be at work at the level of entire body changing the direction of endogenous electric field and leading to wake-up to sleep or vice versa [J2, J4].

Suppose that the charges at the opposite ends of DNA are of opposite sign. An un-necessary strong assumption is that they are of the same magnitude. The dipole moment would be roughly given by the difference $Q_1 - Q_2$ of the charges multiplied by the distance L between ends of the chromosome along the DNA strand. Note that the channeling of electric flux along DNA would be rely on TGD view about space allowing monopole flux tubes whose deformations carry also electric field.

The static electric field would be realized as a conserved electric flux flux along the entire DNA, not only telomere. The order of magnitude is 10 GV/m for $R = 1$ nm so that it would be rather strong. The strength of electric field is proportional to $1/R^2$ and R is expected to vary in the range $1 - 10$ nm. Note that $L(151) = 10$ nm corresponds to the p-adic length scaled the thickness of the DNA coil and chromosome thickness.

The effective dipole moment per nucleotide would be $p \simeq ned \simeq n \times .3$ enm and quantized as multiples of n. The estimate is at most by a factor $2.2 - 7.5$ larger than the estimates from the atomic contributions and would allow to select between the standard model and TGD based model.

4.4.1 Nanoscopic implications

What could be possible experimental consequences of the proposed electric field? Consider first the situation at the level of single DNA double strand.

1. The accelerated motion of a test charge along DNA could serve as a test for this option. One can consider both quantum motion without dissipation - perhaps along the dark DNA - and Ohmic current along the ordinary DNA. They would runs also in absence of external electric field unlike ordinary Ohmic currents.

   These currents could be nanoscopic analogs of the DC currents observed by Becker in body scale and brain scale. If they are steady currents the current is conserved and must return so that a closed current loop is formed. The currents could be also pulselike taking surplus dark protons between ends of the chromosomes and changing the their roles. This would be quantum event associated with BSFR and could mean time reversal.

   Electronic (not protonic) currents along DNA [I6] have been observed for single DNA strands in an external electric and it is found that the conductivity is surprisingly high. In the recent case conduction double strand property and sticky ends would be essential.

2. How could the current return in steady situation? This question must be answered also for Becker's current. Does the current flow as ohmic current along ordinary DNA and return

back along the dark DNA as non-dissipative current? The proton current along DNA along electric field to negatively charged and dark protons would be accelerating: the quantum description would correspond to a particle in linear potential, which is standard quantum mechanical problem.

The larger the charge (the length of the sticky end), the stronger the current. Its magnitude would be quantized being proportional to the length and charge ne of the sticky end. The variation of sticky end length would vary the strength of the current.

The is evidence for proton AC current conduction in the DNA double strand-imidazole composite material under anhydrous conditions (no water) in the freqency range 4 Hz - 1 MHz [I12]. If the mechanism is the proposed one - probably not - the oscillatory current could correspond to occurrence of BSFRs changing the arrow of time with 2 BSFRs *per* each period of $T = 1/f$. This would predict the current to be $I = 2nef$, where $\pm ne$ are the charges at the ends of the double DNA strand.

4.4.2 How to test whether DNA double strand is ferroelectret?

Possible tests of the model are considered in the sequel.

1. *How to test whether DNA double strand is ferroelectret?*

1. The measurement of the possible longitudinal electric field of DNA and its correlation with the length of the telomer or of the sticky end would be an interesting expermental project. DNA exconuclease restriction enzyme allowing to cut pieces from the end of either DNA strand could allow creation of desired length of unpaired portion of DNA. Also blunt ends could be created and the prediction is that there is no electric field in this case.

2. The telomere or the entire DNA would be like a dipole and would interact with external electric fields. One should be able to prepare a DNA sample as an electret so that DNAs would have the same dipole direction and this structure could be put in an electric field allowing to measure the dipole moment of DNA as a macroscopic motion in the field.

   The external electric field would give rise to a torque acting on the entire DNA double strand. If nucleotides behave as independent dipoles as the standard physics based model suggests, this would not be the case and the dipole moments of the nucleotides would only turn in the direction of the external field.

3. One could also study whether and how the possible DNA dipole moment making sense for short enough DNA double strands is affected by the telomerase affecting the length of telomere. The first guess would be that is the length of the sticky end which is affected and that the length of the telomere correlates with this by stability conditions. Pyroelectricity and piezoelectricity and the use of external electric field produce ferroelectrets from various biological tissues [I8]. These methods applied to DNA crystals [I13, I3] could allow to test the hypothesis.

The measurement of the possible longitudinal electric field of chromosome or DNA double strand and its correlation with its length could serve as a futuristic bioelectric marker: this could be an experimental project. Currently, the measurement of telomere length by quantitative PCR is quite common and for a summary of critical factors and recommendations for assay design, interested readers may see [I11]. Also, a full description and protocol for examination of the telomere G-overhang structure in different plant, human and vertebrate models are available [I5, I9, I19, I20].

4.4.3 Could pyroelectricity, piezoelectricity, or the behavior in external electric fields be used to demonstrate that DNA has a longitudinal internal electric field

One can consider also the consequences at condensed matter level. Athensteadt has found [I8] that it is possible to make various tissues of vertebrates piezoelectric or pyroelectric.

Pyroelectric materials (see `https://cutt.ly/5d3gT8r`) are crystals in which the change of the temperature involving thermal energy flow induces a macroscopic electric polarization and therefore electric field making the material ferroelectric. In piezo-electric materials (`https://`

cutt.ly/cd3gJ4v) mechanical stress induces a generation of polarization and macroscopic electric field. Also an external electric field can induce polarization producing a ferroelectret.

One can visualize the situation using a triangle having kinetic, electric, and thermal energies as corners. For piezoelectric materials the motion occurs along the edge connecting electric and mechanical energy. For pyroelectric materials the motion occurs along the edge connecting electric and thermal energy.

The proposal is that DNA double strand + dark DNA strand carries internal electric field is 1-D ferroelectric aperiodic crystal due to its inherent polarization. One cannot exclude the possibility that also single DNA strand + dark strand has this property. DNA should be *n vivo* state. DNA crystals [I13, I3] might allow to test the phenomenon. For instance, it is known that DNA suspended in liquid which is evaporated forms crystal (https://cutt.ly/Hd3fvMW). Could DNA crystals become ferroelectrets by heating or cooling or by applying a mechanical stress or an external electric field?

If this would occur, the interpretation would be that DNA strands become parallel and have parallel electric fields giving rise to ferroelectricity. In the positive case, one could test the hypothesis by using DNA preparations with different values of n for the number of overhang nucleotides: electric field in the ideal situation would be proportional to n if the area density of the parallel DNA strands is the same.

REFERENCES

Theoretical Physics

[B1] Minev ZK et al. To catch and reverse a quantum jump mid-flight, 2019. Available at: https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.00545.

Biology

[I1] Directionality (molecular biology). Available at: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Directionality_(molecular_biology).

[I2] The Fourth Phase of Water: Dr. Gerald Pollack at TEDxGuelphU.

[I3] Heckel A and Famulok M. Building objects from nucleic acids for a nanometer world. *Biochimie*, 90:1096–1107, 2008.

[I4] Wright WE Chai W, Shay JW. Human Telomeres Maintain Their Overhang Length at Senescence. *Mol Cell Biol*, 25(6):2158–2168, 2005.

[I5] Bhusari A Sampathi S Schubert K Chai W Dai X, Huang C. Molecular steps of G-overhang generation at human telomeres and its function in chromosome end protection. *EMBO J*, 29:2788–2801, 2010.

[I6] Ratner M Decker C. Electronic properties of DNA. *Physics World*, 2001. August. Available at: https://physicsworld.com/a/electronic-properties-of-dna/.

[I7] Yam S-C et al. Correlation between polar surface area and bioferroelectricity in DNA and RNA nucleobases. *The European physical journal E*, 41(86), 2018. Available at: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1140/epje/i2018-11696-5.

[I8] Athenstaedt H. Pyroelectric and piezoelectric properties of vertebrates. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 238(1), 1974. Available at: https://cutt.ly/xd3fwAr.

[I9] Trantirek L Dotsch V Hansel R, Lohr F. High-resolution insight into G-overhang architecture. *J Am Chem Soc*, 135:2816–2824, 2013.

[I10] Hiyama K Hiyama E. Telomere and telomerase in stem cells. *Br J Cancer*, 96:1020–1024, 2007.

[I11] Esteves K Drury S Lin J, Smith DL. Telomere length measurement by qPCRG: Summary of critical factors and recommendations for assay design. *Psychoneuroendocrinology*, 99:271–278, 2019.

[I12] Yamada M and Goto A. Proton conduction of DNAimidazole composite material under anhydrous condition. *Polymer Journal*, 44:415420, 2012. Available a: `https://www.nature.com/articles/pj20125`.

[I13] Seeman N. An overview of structural DNA nanotechnology. *Molecular Biotechnology*, 37:246–257, 2007.

[I14] England J Perunov N, Marsland R. Statistical Physics of Adaptation, 2014. Available at: `http://arxiv.org/pdf/1412.1875v1.pdf`.

[I15] Trkal V Pokorny J, Jelinek F. Electric field around microtubules. *Bioelectrochemistry and Bioenergetics*, 45:239–245, 1998.

[I16] Trkal V Lamprecht I Hlzel R Pokorny J, Jelinek F. Vibrations in microtubules. *Journal of Biological Physics*, 23:171–179, 1997.

[I17] Zhao Q Pollack GH, Figueroa X. Molecules, water, and radiant energy: new clues for the origin of life. *Int J Mol Sci*, 10:1419–1429, 2009. Available at: `http://tinyurl.com/ntkfhlc`.

[I18] Sandhu R and Li B. Examination of the telemere G-overhang structure in Trypanosoma brucei. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 90:1096–1107, 2011. Available at: `https://cutt.ly/MfiOCc1`.

[I19] Fajkus J Vyskot B Shippen DE Riha K, McKnight TD. Analysis of the G-overhang structures on plant telomeres: evidence for two distinct telomere architectures. *Plant J*, 23:633–641, 2000.

[I20] Li B Sandhu R. Examination of the telomere G-overhang structure in Trypanosoma brucei. *JoVE (Journal of Visualized Experiments)*, e1959, 2011.

[I21] Audry J Cornelius K Shaughnessy M Berkner KL Runge KW Wang J, Eisenstatt JR. A heterochromatin domain forms gradually at a new telomere and is dynamic at stable telomeres. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 38, 2018.

[I22] J Zhejiang. Dependence of nucleotide physical properties on their placement in codons and determinative degree. *Univ Sci B*, 6(10):948960, 2005. Available at: `https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1390437/`.

[I23] Sun B Du J Sun C Yuan J Wang Y Tao L Kota K Liu X Schlegel R Yang Q Zheng YL, Zhang F. Telomerase enzymatic component hTERT shortens long telomeres in human cells. *Cell Cycle*, 13:1765–1776, 2013.

Neuroscience and Consciousness

[J1] Libet B. Readiness potentials preceding unrestricted spontaneous and preplanned voluntary acts, 1982. Available at: `http://tinyurl.com/jqp1`. See also the article *Libet's Research on Timing of Conscious Intention to Act: A Commentary* of Stanley Klein at `http://tinyurl.com/jqp1`.

[J2] Selden G Becker RO. *The Body Electric: Electromagnetism and the Foundation of Life*. William Morrow & Company, Inc., New York, 1990.

[J3] Fantappie L. *Teoria Unitaria del Mondo Fisico e Biologico*. Di Renzo Editore, Roma, 1942.

[J4] Becker RO. *Cross Currents*. Penguin Putnam Inc., New York, 1990.

Books related to TGD

[K1] Pitkänen M. Massless states and particle massivation. In *p-Adic Physics*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/mless.pdf, 2006.

[K2] Pitkänen M. Quantum Model of Memory. In *TGD Inspired Theory of Consciousness*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/memoryc.pdf, 2006.

[K3] Pitkänen M. *Life and Consciousness: TGD based vision*. Lambert. Available at: http://tinyurl.com/zn98vka., 2014.

[K4] Pitkänen M. Recent View about Kähler Geometry and Spin Structure of WCW . In *Quantum Physics as Infinite-Dimensional Geometry*. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/pdfpool/wcwnew.pdf, 2014.

[K5] Pitkänen M. *Topological Geometrodynamics: Revised Edition*. Bentham.Available at: http://tinyurl.com/h26hqul., 2016.

Articles about TGD

[L1] Pitkänen M. Quantum Mind, Magnetic Body, and Biological Body. *Journal of Consciousness Exploration and Research*, 1(8), 2010.

[L2] Pitkänen M. Pollack's Findings about Fourth Phase of Water: TGD Point of View. *DNA Decipher Journal*, 4(1), 2014. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/pollackYoutube.pdf.

[L3] Pitkänen M. Jeremy England's vision about life and evolution: comparison with TGD approach . Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/englandtgd.pdf., 2015.

[L4] Pitkänen M. Criticality and Dark Matter. *Pre-Space-Time Journal*, 6(1), 2015. See also https://tinyurl.com/yc3hx4uu, https://tinyurl.com/ybaj3mcj, and https://tinyurl.com/ybkw5qnb.

[L5] Pitkänen M. About Physical Representations of Genetic Code in Terms of Dark Nuclear Strings. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/genecodemodels.pdf., 2016.

[L6] Pitkänen M. Hydrinos again. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Millsagain.pdf., 2016.

[L7] Pitkänen M. Number theoretical feats TGD inspired theory of consciousness. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/numberfeats.pdf., 2016.

[L8] Pitkänen M. On Magnetic Body, Bio-harmonies, Morphogenesis and Epigenetics. *DNA Decipher Journal*, 6(1), 2016. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/harmepigenetics.pdf.

[L9] Pitkänen M. DMT, pineal gland, and the new view about sensory perception. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/dmtpineal.pdf., 2017.

[L10] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry? Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints.pdf., 2017.

[L11] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry?: part I. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints1.pdf., 2017.

[L12] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry?: part II. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints2.pdf., 2017.

[L13] Pitkänen M. Does $M^8 - H$ duality reduce classical TGD to octonionic algebraic geometry?: part III. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/ratpoints3.pdf., 2017.

[L14] Pitkänen M. p-Adicization and adelic physics. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelicphysics.pdf., 2017.

[L15] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. In *Trends and Mathematical Methods in Interdisciplinary Mathematical Sciences*, pages 241–319. Springer.Available at: https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-319-55612-3_11, 2017.

[L16] Pitkänen M. Philosophy of Adelic Physics. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/adelephysics.pdf., 2017.

[L17] Pitkänen M. Re-examination of the basic notions of TGD inspired theory of consciousness. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/conscrit.pdf., 2017.

[L18] Pitkänen M. The Anomalies of Water as Evidence for the Existence of Dark Matter in TGD Framework. *Pre-Space-Time Journal*, 8(3), 2017. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/wateranomalies.pdf.

[L19] Pitkänen M. Dark valence electrons and color vision. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/colorvision.pdf., 2018.

[L20] Pitkänen M. Getting philosophical: some comments about the problems of physics, neuroscience, and biology. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/philosophic.pdf., 2018.

[L21] Pitkänen M. Sensory perception and motor action as time reversals of each other: a royal road to the understanding of other minds? Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/timemirror.pdf., 2018.

[L22] Pitkänen M. The Recent View about Twistorialization in TGD Framework. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/smatrix.pdf., 2018.

[L23] Pitkänen M. On the Correspondence of Dark Nuclear Genetic Code & Ordinary Genetic Code. *DNA Decipher Journal*, 8(1), 2018. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/codedarkcode.pdf.

[L24] Pitkänen M. On Emotions as Sensory Percepts of the State of Magnetic Body. *Journal of Consciousness Exploration and Research*, 9(3), 2018. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/emotions.pdf.

[L25] Pitkänen M. Getting Philosophical: On the Problems in Physics, Neuroscience & Biology. *DNA Decipher Journal*, 8(2), 2018. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/philosophic.pdf.

[L26] Pitkänen M. Copenhagen interpretation dead: long live ZEO based quantum measurement theory! Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Bohrdead.pdf., 2019.

[L27] Pitkänen M. Cosmic string model for the formation of galaxies and stars. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/galaxystars.pdf., 2019.

[L28] Pitkänen M. Earhquakes and volcanic eruptions as macroscopic quantum jumps in zero energy ontology. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/earthquakes.pdf., 2019.

[L29] Pitkänen M. $M^8 - H$ duality and consciousness. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hconsc.pdf., 2019.

[L30] Pitkänen M. New results related to $M^8 - H$ duality. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/M8Hduality.pdf., 2019.

[L31] Pitkänen M. Quantum self-organization by h_{eff} changing phase transitions. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/heffselforg.pdf., 2019.

[L32] Pitkänen M. Scattering amplitudes and orbits of cognitive representations under subgroup of symplectic group respecting the extension of rationals . Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/symplorbsm.pdf., 2019.

[L33] Pitkänen M. Secret Link Uncovered Between Pure Math and Physics. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/KimTGD.pdf., 2019.

[L34] Pitkänen M. Some applications of TGD inspired quantum biology: bio-chemistry, metabolism, replication. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/bioexamples.pdf., 2019.

[L35] Pitkänen M. Some comments related to Zero Energy Ontology (ZEO). Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf., 2019.

[L36] Pitkänen M. SUSY in TGD Universe. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/susyTGD.pdf., 2019.

[L37] Pitkänen M. TGD inspired theory of consciousness and living systems. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/badenbaden.pdf., 2019.

[L38] Pitkänen M. Three findings about memory recall and TGD based view about memory retrieval. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/memoryrecall.pdf., 2019.

[L39] Pitkänen M. Copenhagen Interpretation & ZEO Based Quantum Measurement Theory. *Pre-Space-Time Journal*, 10(6), 2019. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/Bohrdead.pdf.

[L40] Pitkänen M. An Overall View about Models of Genetic Code & Bio-harmony. *DNA Decipher Journal*, 9(2), 2019. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/gcharm.pdf.

[L41] Pitkänen M. Self-organization by h_{eff} Changing Phase Transitions. *Pre-Space-Time Journal*, 10(7), 2019. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/heffselforg.pdf.

[L42] Pitkänen M. Could quantum randomness have something to do with classical chaos? Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/chaostgd.pdf., 2020.

[L43] Pitkänen M. New results about dark DNA inspired by the model for remote DNA replication. Available at: http://tgdtheory.fi/public_html/articles/darkdnanew.pdf., 2020.

[L44] Pitkänen M. Results about Dark DNA & Remote DNA Replication. *DNA Decipher Journal*, 10(1), 2020. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/darkdnanew.pdf.

[L45] Pitkänen M. Zero Energy Ontology & Consciousness. *Journal of Consciousness Exploration & Research*, 11(1), 2020. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/zeoquestions.pdf.

[L46] Pitkänen M and Dana Flavin. Could Cancer Be a Disease of Magnetic Body? *DNA Decipher Journal*, 8(3), 2018. See also http://tgtheory.fi/public_html/articles/nanotesla.pdf.